
VISUDDHIMAGGA THE PATH TO PURITY

Khandhaniddesa

Explanation of the Aggregates

Bhadantācariya Buddhaghosa

Translation from the Pāļi by **Dr. Nandamālābhivaṃsa**



Sagaing Hills, Myanmar

First edition: 2005

© 2005 Copyright by CBS

Centre for Buddhist Studies (CBS), Mahāsubodhayon Monastery, Sagaing Hills, Sagaing, Myanmar

VISUDDHIMAGGA THE PATH TO PURITY¹

14. Khandhaniddesa

Explanation of the Aggregates

Paññākathā

Discourse on Paññā

421. *Samādhi* has been pointed out under the heading of *citta* in this stanza:

"Sīle patițțhāya naro sapañño, cittam paññañca bhāvayan". "When a wise person, established well in sīla, develops citta and paññā..."

That *samādhi* has been developed in all aspects by a *bhikkhu* who possesses the more stable *samādhi-bhāvanā* with the benefit of *abhiññā*. After that *samādhi-bhāvanā*, *paññā* must be developed. That *paññā* also is taught very briefly. Firstly, it is not easy even to know, needless to say, even to develop. Therefore, the following questions are mentioned now, in order to describe the detailed information of *paññā* and the way to develop it.

- 1. What is *paññā*?
- 2. In what sense is it *paññā*?
- 3. What are its characteristic, function, manifestation, and proximate cause?
- 4. How many kinds of *paññā* are there?
- 5. How is it developed?
- 6. What are the benefits of developing *paññā*?

¹ According to Ven. Buddhaghosa *visuddhi* is *Nibbāna*, purity, and must not be purified. Therefore, *Visuddhimagga* should be better translated as "The Path to Purity" than "The Path of Purification".

422. Here are the answers:

1. What is *paññā*?

 $Pa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$ is manifold and has various aspects. If we try to explain all of them, the answer might not fulfil the intended meaning, and moreover would lead to distraction; therefore, we say referring to the intention here: $pa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$ is *vipassanā*-knowledge associated with *mahākusala cittas*.

423. **2.** In what sense is it *paññā*?

It is *paññā* in the sense of knowing in various ways. What is this knowing in various ways? It is knowing in various ways that is different from the aspect of *sañjānana* (noting through mark) and *vijānana* (bare awareness). Although *saññā*, *viññāṇa* and *paññā* are the same in the nature of knowing, *saññā* is only the mere notion of an object, marking it as brown or yellow for example; it is not able to penetrate the characteristics of *anicca*, *dukkha* and *anattā*. *Viññāṇa* knows the object as brown or yellow and penetrates its characteristics; but it is unable to lead to the arising of *magga* by endeavour. *Paññā* knows the object, as mentioned before, penetrates its characteristics and by endeavour it leads to the arising of *magga*.

For example, three persons see a heap of coins placed on a money changer's counter, an ignorant child, a villager, and a coinmaker. When the ignorant child sees the coins, it only knows the shapes, colours and designs as long, square, round, but it does not know their value in the use for the enjoyment of people. The villager knows the various colours and designs and also "this is to be used for the enjoyment of people" but he does not know "this is genuine, this is false, this is mixed". The coin-maker knows all these distinctions, he knows the coins by looking at them, by hearing the sound when struck, by smelling the smell, by tasting the taste, by weighing in the hand. He knows that they are made in a certain village, market-town, city, on a mountain or on the bank of a river by a certain master. This meaning should be understood with such an example. Saññā is like the ignorant child's seeing of the coin, because it apprehends the mere appearance of an object as blue, etc. Viññāna is like the villager's seeing of the coin, because it apprehends the appearance of the object as blue, etc. and beyond that leads to the penetration of its characteristic. Paññā is like the coin-maker's seeing of the coin, because it apprehends the appearance of the object as blue, penetrates its characteristics, and furthermore causes magga to rise.

Therefore, this knowing in various ways should be understood as different from knowing by mark and from bare awareness. Referring to this it is said: "*Paññā* is knowing in various ways."

But that $pa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ does not arise definitely where $sa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ and $vi\tilde{n}\tilde{n}\bar{n}na$ arise. But where it exists, it cannot be separated from those two *dhammas*, like "this is $sa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}\bar{a}$, this is $vi\tilde{n}\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$, this is $pa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ "; the difference cannot be known, because they are so subtle to see. Therefore, the Venerable Nāgasena said:

"A difficult thing, great king, has been done by the *Bhagava*." – "What difficult thing, Bhante Nāgasena, has been done by the *Bhagava*?" - "The difficult thing, great king, done by the *Bhagava*, was the defining of the immaterial *dhammas*, *citta* and *cetasika*, which arise with a single object, declaring the classification of them: this is *phassa*, this is *vedanā*, this is *saññā*, this is *cetanā*, this is *citta*." [Milinda-pañhā 2.7.16]

424. **3.** What are its characteristic, function, manifestation, and proximate cause?

In this question $pa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$ has the characteristic of penetrating the individual nature of the *dhammas*. $Pa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$ has the function to remove the darkness of delusion which conceals the individual nature of the *dhammas*. It manifests as the absence of extreme delusion. Because of the saying "A person who has concentration knows and sees things as they really are", *samādhi* is the proximate cause of *paññā*.

Paññāpabhedakathā

Discourse on the division of paññā

425. **4.** How many kinds of *paññā* are there?

I. Firstly *paññā* is of one kind according to the characteristic of penetrating the nature of *dhammas*.

II. *Paññā* is of two kinds concerning:

- 1. lokiya and lokuttara,
- 2. being the object of *āsava* or not,
- 3. analysis of *nāma* and *rūpa*,
- 4. association with pleasant or neutral feeling,
- 5. the plane of seeing (dassana) and developing (bhāvanā).
- III. Paññā is of three kinds concerning:
 - 1. knowledge produced by own thinking, by learning and by meditation,
 - 2. the object as kāma-, mahagatta- or appamāna-object,
 - 3. skilfulness in increasing, decreasing or means,
 - 4. keeping the object in mind as internal, external or both.
- IV. Paññā is of four kinds concerning:
 - 1. the Four Noble Truths,
 - 2. the four analytical knowledges (pațisambhidā).

426. (I). Therein, the one-fold classification is clear in meaning.

(II). Twofold classification:

- 1. *Paññā* associated with the mundane path is *lokiya*; associated with the supramundane path it is *lokuttara*. Thus, it is twofold regarding mundane and supramundane.
- 2. Paññā being the object of canker (āsava), is sāsava; being not the object of āsava, is anāsava. As phenomena they have the same meaning like lokiya and lokuttara. Paññā associated with āsava, is sāsava. Paññā dissociated from āsava, is anāsava. It should be noted only in this way. Thus, paññā is twofold according to sāsava and anāsava.

- 3. If in a person willingly practising *Vipassanā*, there arises *paññā* in analysing the four immaterial aggregates, that is *paññā* analysing the mind. If *paññā* arises by analysing the aggregate of matter, it is *paññā* analysing material qualities. In this way, *paññā* is twofold, analysing *nāma* and *rūpa*.
- 4. Paññā that arises in two of the kāmāvacara kusala cittas and in 16 magga-cittas associated with four jhānas according to the five-jhāna-method² is accompanied by somanassa. Paññā that arises in two kāmāvacara kusala cittas and in 4 magga-cittas associated with the fifth jhāna is accompanied by upekkhā. Thus, paññā is twofold by association with somanassa or upekkhā-feeling.
- 5. Paññā arising in the first path-consciousness (magga-citta) is dassanabhūmi (the plane of seeing, that means the first seeing of Nibbāna of sotāpatti-magga). Paññā arising in the remaining three magga-cittas is bhāvanābhūmi (plane of developing, that means development of the higher magga-cittas: sakadāgāmi-, anāgāmi- and arahatta-magga). In this way, paññā is twofold regarding dassana- and bhāvanā-bhūmi.

427. (III). Threefold classification:

 Paññā obtained without learning from others is cintāmayā, because it arises through one's own thoughts. Paññā obtained having heard from others is sutamayā, because it occurs depending on hearing. Paññā reaching the state of appanā, which occurs through meditation in any way, is bhāvanāmayā.

The following is said by the Buddha:

"What is $pa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$ by means of thinking? [If] $pa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$ – in the sphere of work, craft or science invented by ingenuity, $pa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$ that kamma is one's own property, $pa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$ that is in conformity with the truth that $r\bar{u}pa$ is impermanent, $vedan\bar{a}$, $sa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$, $sankh\bar{a}ra$ and $vi\tilde{n}n\bar{a}a$ are impermanent, $pa\tilde{n}n\bar{a}$ of that which is similar, in conformity, ability, view, choice, opinion, seeing, or ability to apprehend the *dhammas*, – is

² In the *Abhidhamma* a five-fold classification is used instead of the four-fold classification in *Suttanta*, where the second and third *jhāna*s are taken together as the second *jhāna* of the former. (See Abhidhammattha Saṅgaha, chapter II)

acquired without learning from others, this is called *cintāmayā paññā* (wisdom by way of thinking).

If all these kinds of knowledge are acquired by hearing (or learning) from others, it is called *sutamayā paññā* (wisdom by means of hearing or learning).

All *paññā* of one who has attainments (*jhāna, magga* and *phala*), is *bhāvanāmayā paññā* (wisdom by means of development)." [Vibhaṅga 768]

So, $pa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$ is threefold concerning thinking, hearing and development.

 Paññā having a kāmāvacara dhamma³- object is called parittaārammaņā paññā (wisdom of which the object is paritta⁴). Paññā having a rūpāvacara or arūpāvacara dhamma -object, is called mahaggatārammaņa paññā (wisdom of which the object is mahaggata⁵). That paññā is lokiya Vipassanā. Paññā having Nibbāna as object is called appamāna⁶- ārammaņa paññā (wisdom of which Nibbāna is the object). That is lokuttara Vipassanā.

In this way, *paññā* is threefold concerning *paritta-, mahagatta-* and *appamāna-*object.

3. Increase is called improvement. It is twofold because of decrease of *akusala* and increase of *kusala*. Skill in it is *āyakosalla*. As it has been said:

"Therein what is skill (in knowing) improvement? In one paying attention to these *dhammas*, *akusala dhammas* which have not arisen do not arise. Also *akusala dhammas* which have arisen are abandoned. Moreover, in one paying attention to these *dhammas*, *kusala dhammas* which have

³ Realities from the sense-sphere: $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara\ cittas$ with respective cetasikas, $r\bar{u}pa$.

⁴ A *paritta*-object is what we see with our eye, hear with our ear..., all material things, all types of sense-sphere consciousness and mental concomitants. Also called limited object, little, narrow, because it has little power.

⁵ The exalted object, great, unlimited, sublime of the *jhāna* consciousness.

⁶ appamāna means Nibbāna. Lokuttara cittas have Nibbāna as their object.

not arisen, arise. Also *kusala dhammas* which have arisen increase, grow up and develop for maturity, for completion. That which therein is *pañíā*, *pajānanā*, non-delusion, investigation of truth, right view, this is called *āyakosalla* (skill in knowing improvement)." [Vibhaṅga 771]

Decrease is non-improvement. It is twofold because of decrease of *kusala* and increase of *akusala*. Skill in it is *apāyakosalla*. As it has been said:

"Therein what is skill in knowing decrease? In one paying attention to these *dhammas*, *kusala dhammas* which have not arisen do not arise. Also *kusala dhammas* which have arisen cease. Moreover, in one paying attention to these *dhammas*, *akusala dhammas* which have not arisen, arise. Also *akusala dhammas* which have not arisen, arise. In the arisen and develop for maturity. That which therein is *paññā*, *pajānanā*, non-delusion, investigation of truth, right view, this is called *apāyakosalla* (skill in knowing decrease)." [Vibhanga 771]

In all cases the immediately arising of skill regarding to the means of such and such *dhammas* is to be called *upāyakosalla* (skill in means). As it has been said:

"All types of *paññā* knowing the means in any case are called *upāyakosalla* (skill in knowing the means)". [Vibhaṅga 771]

Thus paññā is threefold concerning āya-, apāya- and upāya-skill.

4. Vipassanā-paññā practised towards one's own khandhas as object is called ajjhattābhinivesā (contemplation of internal objects). Vipassanā-paññā practised towards others' khandhas and towards external inanimate things is called bahiddhābhinivesā (contemplation of external objects). Vipassanā-paññā practised towards both is called ajjhatta-bahiddhābhinivesā (contemplation of internal and external objects). Thus $pa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$ is threefold concerning the contemplation of internal, external or both objects.

428. (IV). Fourfold classification:

1. *Ñāņa* arising towards the truth of *dukkha* is called the knowledge of *dukkha*.

 $\tilde{Nan}a$ arising towards the origin of *dukkha* is called knowledge of *dukkhasamudaya*.

 \tilde{Nana} arising towards the cessation of *dukkha* is called knowledge of *dukkhanirodha*.

 \tilde{Nana} arising towards the practice leading to the cessation of *dukkha* is called knowledge of *dukkhanirodhagāmini paṭipada*.

In this way, $pa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a$ is fourfold regarding the knowledge occurring in the four Truths.

The four kinds of *ñāṇa* analysing the effect (*attha*), are called the four *pațisambhidā* (analysis). It is said truly:

" $\tilde{N}\bar{a}na$ of *attha* is analytic insight of consequence. $\tilde{N}\bar{a}na$ of *dhamma* is analytic insight of origin. $\tilde{N}\bar{a}na$ of language is analytic insight of language. $\tilde{N}\bar{a}na$ of these kinds of knowledge is analytic insight of knowledge." [Vibhanga 293]

Therein, *attha* is briefly a term for the consequence of a cause. *Hetuphala* is said to be *attha*, because it can be known by tracing to its cause. The following five *dhammas* are known as consequence (*attha*):

- 1. anything occurring by cause
- 2. Nibbāna
- 3. topic (of what is spoken)
- 4. vipāka (result)
- 5. kiriya (functional)

 $Atthapatisambhid\bar{a}$ (analysis of consequence) is the analytic knowledge arising when contemplating the consequence.

Dhamma is briefly a term for a cause (*paccaya*). *Paccaya* is said to be *dhamma*, because it arranges⁷ this and that effect. But in particular the following five *dhammas* are known as cause (*dhamma*):

- 1. any cause producing an effect
- 2. the Noble Path
- 3. what is spoken (the topic)
- 4. kusala
- 5. akusala

Dhammapatisambhidā (analysis of cause) is the knowledge arising by analysing the contemplated causes.

Only that meaning is shown in the Abhidhamma by the following analysis:

"Knowledge of *dukkha* is *atthapatisambhidā* (analytic insight of consequence).

Knowledge of *dukkhasamudaya* is *dhammapatisambhidā* (analytic insight of origin). [Knowledge of *dukkhanirodha* is *atthapatisambhidā*. Knowledge of *dukkhanirodhagāmini* patipada is *dhammapatisambhidhā*.]

Knowledge of cause is *dhammapatisambhidā*. Knowledge of the effect is *atthapatisambhidā*.

Knowledge about whatever things are born, become, brought to birth, produced, completed, made manifest, is *atthapatisambhidā*. Knowledge about the things from which those things were born, became, were brought to birth, produced, completed, made manifest, is *dhammapatisambhidā*.

Knowledge about ageing and death is *atthapatisambhidā*. Knowledge about the origin of ageing and death is *dhammapatisambhidā*. [Knowledge about the cessation of ageing and death is *atthapatisambhidā*. Knowledge about the way leading to cessation of ageing and death is *dhammapatisambhidā*.

⁷ *dahati*: to "arrange" an effect here means: to produce, to support, to make an effect arise/occur/attain/arrive.

Knowledge about birth, becoming, clinging, craving, feeling, contact, the sixfold base, mentality-materiality, consciousness, knowledge about formations is *atthapațisambhidā*. Knowledge about the origin of formations is *dhammapațisambhidā*.] Knowledge about the cessation of formations is *atthapațisambhidā*. Knowledge about the way leading to the cessation of formations is *dhammapațisambhidā*.

Herein, a *bhikkhu* knows the Dhamma - the discourses, songs, [expositions, stanzas, exclamations, sayings, birth stories, marvels, and] answers to questions – this is called *dhammapatisambhidā*. He knows whatever is said thus: This is the meaning of this that was said; this is the meaning of that that was said – this is called *atthapatisambhidā*.

What *dhammas* are *kusala*? At the time when *kāmāvacara kusala citta* arises [accompanied by pleasant feeling and associated with knowledge, having a visible object... a mental object or whatever object, at that time there is contact... there is non-wavering] – these states are good. Knowledge about these things is *dhammapatisambhidā*. Knowledge about their result is *atthapatisambhidā*." [Extracts from Vibhaṅga 719 - 725]

Analytic knowledge of spoken language: There is a true language, a true term on that "*attha*" (effect) and "*dhamma*" (cause). Reading, speaking and reciting it, one hears the words, which are read, spoken and recited. Hearing those words, distinguishing true and not true language, the analytic knowledge that arises to the true language called Māgadhi – the original language of all kinds of beings – is **analytic knowledge of language** (*niruttipațisambhidā*). When someone possessing *niruttipațisambhidā* hears "*phasso, vedanā*", he understands, this is *sabhāvanirutti* (true, original language); hearing "*phassā, vedano*" he understands, this is *na sabhāvanirutti* (not the true, original language).

Knowledge in knowledge ($n\bar{a}nesu n\bar{a}na$) is knowledge with knowledge as object, possessed by one who contemplates any knowledge of the former three as object. In other ways, the knowledge that arises towards these aforesaid types of knowledge (*attha-, dhamma-, nirutti-pațisambhidā*), realizing them in detail with their function, object, etc., is *pațibhānapațisambhidā*.

429. The fourfold analytic knowledge works at two places: *sekkhabhūmi* (the plane of the trainees⁸) and *asekkha-bhūmi*⁹. Therein, that analytic knowledge works on the position of *asekkha* within those who are chief-disciples (*aggasāvaka*) and great disciples (*mahāsāvaka*). That knowledge at the position of *sekkha* worked within Ānanda Thera, the householder Citta, the *upāsaka* Dhammika, the householder Upāli, the *upāsikā* Khujjuttarā, etc.

In this way those types of analytic knowledge work on the two positions. They become pure through five causes: attainment, study of scriptures, listening, query and previous practice of *Vipassanā*.

Therein, Arahantship is called attainment. Study is the learning of the Buddha's words. Listening is listening to the Dhamma carefully and attentively. Query means deciding on words with hidden meanings hard to understand in canonical texts, commentaries, etc. In the teachings of the former Buddhas, previous practice means the practice of *Vipassanā* up to *anuloma*¹⁰, close to *gotrabhū*¹¹, by being a person who goes for alms with meditation practice and comes back with meditation practice¹².

- 2. Going without meditation, returning with meditation.
- 3. Neither going nor returning with meditation.
- 4. Going and returning with meditation.

⁸ Trainees are *sotāpannas, sakadāgāmis, anāgāmis.*

⁹ The plane of the perfectly trained, that means of the *arahants*.

¹⁰ Conformity, adaptation. sankharupekkhā-ñāna.

¹¹ Change-of-lineage, adoption, changes to the (next) state of a Noble One.

¹² Any kind of meditation constantly practised, even while going and returning. There are four types of monks:

^{1.} A monk, who goes with meditation to the village for alms, but after his meal returns with no meditation.

Others have said:

"Former practice, great knowledge, study of the native language and of the Dhamma, query, attainment, staying dependent on a teacher, having friends – these are the causes of analytic knowledge."

Therein, former practice is as said before. Great knowledge is skill in texts and in the sphere of crafts. Skill in 101 languages, especially skill in the language of Māgadha, is study of language. $\bar{A}gama^{13}$ means the study of the Buddha's words, at least the *opamma-vagga*¹⁴. Query means questioning about and deciding on the meaning of even one verse. Attainment is becoming *sotāpanna*... and becoming *arahant*. Staying dependent on a teacher means dwelling near a teacher who is learned and full of wit. Having friends means gaining good friends with such qualities [learned and full of wit].

Herein, Buddhas and Paccekabuddhas attain *pațisambhidā* through previous practice and attainment. Disciples [attain *pațisambhidā*] depending on all [five causes]. For the attainment of analytic knowledge there is no special practice of meditation-subject. The analytic knowledge of *sekkhas* arises at the moment of their *phala*¹⁵. The attainment of *pațisambhidā* for *asekkhas* occurs at the moment of their *phala*¹⁶. Within *ariyas* the *pațisambhidā* arises only together with their *phala*, like the Ten Powers within *Tathāgatas*. Referring to these *pațisambhidā* it is said that *paññā* is fourfold according to the four *pațisambhidā*.

¹³ Canonical texts. In Theravāda called "nikāya", in Mahāyāna "āgama".

¹⁴ "Chapter of similes". Various interpretations: Yamaka-vagga of Mūlapaņņāsa (Majjhima Nikāya); Yamaka-vagga from Dhammapada; Opamma-samyutta from Samyutta Nikāya.

¹⁵ Here: for stream-enterers (*sotāpanna*) *sotāpatti-phala*, for once-returner (*sakadāgāmi*) *sakadāgāmi-phala*, for non-returners (*anāgāmi*) *anāgāmi-phala*.

¹⁶ That is *arahatta-phala*.

Paññābhūmi-mūla-sarīravavatthānaṃ The field of wisdom as root- and trunk-arrangement

430. How should it be developed?

Herein, these *dhammas* with the divisions of *khandha*, *āyatana*, *dhātu*, *indriya*, *sacca*, *pațiccasamuppāda*¹⁷, etc. are the soil of this paññā. The two purifications *sīlavisuddhi* and *cittavisuddhi* (moral and mental purification) are the "roots" (*mūla*). The five purifications – *dițthivisuddhi* (purification of view), *kankhāvitaraṇavisuddhi* (purification by overcoming doubt), *maggāmaggañāṇadassana-visuddhi* (purification by knowledge and vision of the path and non-path), *pațipadāñāṇadassanavisuddhi* (purification by knowledge and vision of the way), *ñāṇadassanavisuddhi* (purification by knowledge and vision) – are the "trunk" (*sarīra*). Therefore *paññā* should be increased by learning and inquiring about those *dhammas* that are the soil of *paññā*. Then the two purifications that are the roots have to be fulfilled. After that, by fulfilling the five purifications that are the trunk, *paññā* must be developed. This is in brief.

431. The full information is as follows. At first it was said that the *dhammas* with the division of *khandha*, *āyatana*, *dhātu*, *indriya*, *sacca*, *pațiccasamuppāda*, etc. are the soil. In this statement what is *khandhā*? There are five *khandhas*: *rūpakkhandha*, *vedanākkhandha*, *sañňākkhandha*, *sankhārakkhandha* and *viññānakkhandha*.

¹⁷ Aggregate, base, element, faculty, truth, dependent origination.

Rūpakkhandhakathā

Discourse on Rūpakkhandha

432. Therein, any type of *dhamma* with the characteristic of changing through cold, etc., taken all together is known as "*rūpakkhandha*". According to the characteristic of changing, *rūpa* is onefold, but it is twofold as to *bhūta* (elements) and *upadāya* (derivative matter). Of them, the matter of *bhūta* is fourfold:

paṭhavīdhātu	earth-element,
āpodhātu	water-element,
tejodhātu	fire-element,
vāyodhātu	air-element.

Their characteristic, function and manifestation were mentioned in the chapter "*Catudhātuvavatthāna*" (Analysis of the Four Elements). But as to the proximate cause, each one has the other three elements as proximate cause.

Upādārūpa is of 24 kinds:

cakkhu	eye,
sota	ear,
ghāna	nose,
jivhā	tongue,
kāya	body;
rūpa	visible object,
sadda	sound,
gandha	smell,
rasa	taste;
itthindriya	faculty of femininity,
purisindriya	faculty of masculinity;
jīvitindriya	life faculty;
hadayavatthu	heart-base;
kāyaviññatti	bodily intimation,
vacīviññatti	verbal intimation;
ākāsadhātu	space-element;
rūpassa lahutā	lightness of matter,
rūpassa mudutā	malleability of matter,

rūpassa kammaññatā	fitness of matter;
rūpassa upacaya	development of matter,
rūpassa santati	continuity of matter,
rūpassa jaratā	decay of matter,
rūpassa aniccatā	impermanence of matter;
kabaļikāro āhāra	physical nutriment.

433. Therein, the eye (*cakkhu*) has the characteristic of sensitivity of *bhūta* that is fit for reflection of visible form; or its characteristic is sensitivity of *bhūta* that is produced by *kamma* which is originated by the desire to see. Its function is pulling the consciousness towards the visible object. Its manifestation is based on eye-consciousness. Its proximate cause is *kamma*-born matter originated by the desire to see.

Therein, the **ear** (*sota*) has the characteristic of sensitivity of $bh\bar{u}ta$ that is fit for impingement of sound; or its characteristic is sensitivity of $bh\bar{u}ta$ that is produced by *kamma* which is originated by the desire to hear. Its function is pulling the consciousness towards the sound. Its manifestation is based on ear-consciousness. Its proximate cause is *kamma*-born matter originated by the desire to hear.

Therein, the **nose** $(gh\bar{a}na)$ has the characteristic of sensitivity of $bh\bar{u}ta$ that is fit for impingement of smell; or its characteristic is sensitivity of $bh\bar{u}ta$ that is produced by kamma which is originated by the desire to smell. Its function is pulling the consciousness towards the smell. Its manifestation is based on nose-consciousness. Its proximate cause is kamma-born matter originated by the desire to smell.

Therein, the **tongue** (*jivhā*) has the characteristic of sensitivity of $bh\bar{u}ta$ that is fit for impingement of taste; or its characteristic is sensitivity of $bh\bar{u}ta$ that is produced by *kamma* which is originated by the desire to taste. Its function is pulling the consciousness towards the taste. Its manifestation is based on tongue-consciousness. Its proximate cause is *kamma*-born matter originated by the desire to taste.

Therein, the **body** $(k\bar{a}ya)$ has the characteristic of sensitivity of *bhūta* that is fit for impingement of tangible object; or its characteristic is sensitivity of *bhūta* that is produced by *kamma* which is originated by the desire to touch. Its function is pulling the consciousness towards the tangible object. Its manifestation is based on body-consciousness. Its proximate cause is *kamma*-born matter originated by the desire to touch.

434. Some say: "Eye is sensitivity of *bhūta* with excess of fireelement; ear, nose, tongue is sensitivity of *bhūta* with excess of air-, earth-, water-element respectively; the body is sensitivity of *bhūta* with all elements."

> Others say: "Eye is sensitivity of those with fire in excess, and ear, nose, tongue and body are with excess of space-element, air-, water- and earth-element respectively."

> But they should be asked to give a reference from the *suttas*. They will certainly not find one. But some give as their reason that it is because these [sensitivities] are respectively aided by visible objects etc., as qualities of fire, and so on. They should be asked: "But who has said that visible objects, etc. are qualities of fire, and so on?" For it is not possible to say of primary elements, which remain always inseparable, that "This is a quality of this one, that is a quality of that one."

Then they may say: "Just as you assume, from excess of some $bh\bar{u}ta$ in such and such material things, the [respective] functions of upholding, etc., for earth, etc., so, from finding visibility, etc. [respectively] in a state of excess in material things that have fire in excess, one may assume those visible objects, etc., are [respectively] qualities of these."

They should be told: "We might assume it if the smell of cotton, which has excess of *pathavī*, would be stronger than the smell of liquor, which has excess of $\bar{a}po$, and if the colour of cold water were weaker than that of hot water, which has excess of *tejo*. But since neither of these is the case, you should therefore give up conjecturing

the difference to be in the supporting $bh\bar{u}tas$. Just as the qualities – $r\bar{u}pa$, rasa, etc. – of $bh\bar{u}ta$ are different from each other, even in the same material group, so too the sensitive eye, etc. [are different from each other], although they have no other special causes." This point should be noted.

What is that, which is not common to each other? Only *kamma* is a special cause of them. They are different due to different *kamma* and not due to difference of *bhūta*. For if there were differences of *bhūta*, sensitivity itself would not arise. What the ancient teachers said is true: sensitivity is for equal – not unequal – *bhūta*s.

- 435. Thus, among those that are different due to different *kamma*, eye and ear seize the object that has not come into physical contact with them. This is because they are the cause of *viññāṇa*, only towards the object that does not touch their own bases. Nose, tongue, and body seize the object that touches each of them through their own bases or themselves¹⁸. This is because they are the cause of *viññāṇa*, only towards the object that touches their own base.
- 436. In this world what is said to be "eye", is that which is surrounded by black eyelashes, varied with black and white circles and which looks like a blue lotus-petal. In the components of the eye there is a black circle surrounded by white. In the middle of it there is a location where the image of those, standing in front of it, appears. At that location, the **eye sensitivity** (*cakkhu-pasāda*) lies spreading on the seven layers of the eye like oil sprinkled on seven layers of cotton. It is supported by the four elements with their functions – upholding, cohering, maturing, and moving – respectively, like a young prince is assisted by four attendants with their functions – holding, bathing, dressing, and fanning – respectively. It exists helped by *utu, citta*, and *āhāra*, sustained by *jīvita*, varied in colour, smell and taste. Its size is about the head of a louse. It duly serves as the base and door of eye-consciousness, etc. The following is said by the dhamma-

¹⁸ Smell and taste touch the object through their own bases: the nose sensitivity touches the smell and the tongue sensitivity touches the taste by physical contact. But tangibility is itself the three tangible *bhūtas* (*paṭhavī*, *tejo*, *vāyo*).

general [Ven. Sāriputta]: "A person sees a visible object with the eye sensitivity. It is small and subtle like the head of a louse."

The **ear sensitivity** (*sota-pasāda*) lies in a spot fringed by tender, brown hair like a finger ring inside the ear-hole. It is surrounded by the four elements as said before, helped by *utu, citta* and $\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$, sustained by *jīvita*, varied in colour, etc. It duly serves as the base and door of ear-consciousness, etc.

The **nose sensitivity** (*ghāna-pasāda*) lies in a spot like the hoof of a goat inside the hole of the nose. It exists with the support, help, sustainance and variation as said before. It duly serves as the base and door of nose-consciousness, etc.

The **tongue sensitivity** (*jivhā-pasāda*) lies in a spot like a tip of a lotus-petal in the middle of the surface of the tongue. It exists with the support, help, sustenance and variation as said before. It duly serves as the base and door of tongue-consciousness, etc.

The **body sensitivity** $(k\bar{a}ya-pas\bar{a}da)$ lies everywhere where there exists *kamma*-born matter in this body. It exists spreading over the whole body like oil soaking a layer of cotton. It exists with the support, help, sustenance and variation as said before. It duly serves as the base and door of body-consciousness, etc.

Those eye sensitivity, etc. must be noted as they incline towards their own objects – visible object, etc. – respectively. They are like snakes, crocodiles, birds, dogs, and jackals that incline towards their own resorts: the ant-hill, water, sky, village, and the charnel ground, respectively¹⁹.

¹⁹ Simile given in "Chappāṇakopama Sutta" in Saṃyutta Nikāya, Salāyatana Saṃyutta, Āsīvisa Vagga (S 35, 206): The eye is like a snake searching for a hole to hide at the ant-hill. The ear is like a crocodile searching for a cave in the water. The nose is like a bird wishing to remain in the sky. The tongue is like a dog, searching for food in the village. The body is like a jackal, searching for a luxurious place to sleep. The mind is like a monkey.

437. As regards visible objects, etc., which come next, a **visible object** $(r\bar{u}pa)$ has the characteristic of reflecting on the eye. Its function is to be the objective field of eye-consciousness. It manifests as the resort of that too. Its proximate cause are the four *mahābhūtas*. And all the following $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}-r\bar{u}pa$ s are the same. But if there is a difference, we will talk about it. This [visible object] is manifold as blue, yellow, and so on.

Sound (*sadda*) has the characteristic of impinging on the ear. Its function is to be the object of ear-consciousness. It manifests as the resort of that too. It is manifold as drum sound, $mudinga^{20}$ sound and so on.

Smell (*gandha*) has the characteristic of impinging on the nose. Its function is to be the object of nose-consciousness. It manifests as the resort of that too. It is manifold as "root-smell, smell of the inner core" and so on.

Taste (*rasa*) has the characteristic of impinging on the tongue. Its function is to be the object of tongue-consciousness. It manifests as the resort of that too. It is manifold as "root-taste, trunk-taste" and so on.

438. The **faculty of femininity** (*itthindriya*) has the characteristic of womanhood. Its function is to show that "this is a female". It manifests as the cause for the mark, sign, work and ways of the female.

The **faculty of masculinity** (*purisindriya*) has the characteristic of manhood. Its function is to show that "this is a male". It manifests as the cause for the mark, sign, work and ways of the male.

Both of them spread in the whole body like $k\bar{a}ya$ -pas $\bar{a}da$ (body sensitivity). But it cannot be said that it exists where $k\bar{a}ya$ -pas $\bar{a}da$ exists, or that it exists where $k\bar{a}ya$ -pas $\bar{a}da$ does not exist. They never mix each other like those qualities $-r\bar{u}pa$, rasa, and so on.

²⁰ *Mudinga* (or *mutinga*): a small drum, tabour.

- The life faculty (*iīvitindriva*) has the characteristic of protection 439. of $r\bar{u}pa$ born together. Its function is continuity [of the matter born together]. It manifests as maintenance [of only those]. Its proximate cause are the *bhūtas* that are made continuous by itself. Although it has the characteristic of maintenance, protection, etc., it protects the matter born together only in the present moment, like water protects the lotus, etc. Although the *dhammas* arise through their own causes, it protects the *dhammas* like a wet-nurse looks after a baby. Then it continues depending on the phenomena that were made continuous by itself, like a captain of a ship. It cannot continue beyond the moment of dissolution because of non-existence of itself and the phenomena protected by it. It does not maintain after the moment of dissolution, because it dissolves itself - like a used-up wick and oil cannot maintain the flame of a lamp. But it should be noted that it does not lack the power of protection, continuity, and maintenance; this is because it accomplishes this and that function at the moment as said.
- 440. The **heart-base** (hadayavatthu) has the characteristic of manodhātu²¹ and manoviññāṇadhātu²², which depend on it. Its function is being the seat of those dhātus. Its manifestation is carrying them. It depends on the blood inside the heart-organ, as said in the discourse on kāyagatāsati. It is supported by bhūta with their functions of holding up, etc., helped by utu, citta and āhāra it is sustained by life. It performs as a base for manodhātu, manoviññāṇadhātu and associated dhammas.
- 441. The **bodily intimation** (*kāyaviññatti*) is the mode and action of air-element that is produced by *citta*, conditioning moving forward, etc. It makes the matter-group born together stiffening, upholding and moving. Its function is to show intention. It manifests as the cause of body movement. Its proximate cause is the air-element born

²¹ Three kinds of consciousness are together called the mind-element (*manodhātu*): pañcadvārāvajjana (five-sense-door-adverting) and the two *sampaticchana* (receiving) *cittas*.

²² 76 types of consciousness are together called the mind-consciousness-element (manoviññāṇadhātu): the 12 akusala, 3 santīraṇa, 2 ahetuka kiriya, 24 kāmasobhana, 15 rūpāvacara, 12 arūpāvacara, 8 lokuttara cittas (these are all the cittas except the 3 of manodhātu and the 10 viññāṇas).

of *citta*. Then, it is called $k\bar{a}yavi\tilde{n}\tilde{n}atti$ because it is the cause of gesture; because through body movements it makes others know the intention and it is also to be known itself through that body movement²³. It must be understood through $k\bar{a}yavi\tilde{n}\tilde{n}atti$ the moving forward, etc. happen due to the movement of *utu*-born matter, etc., that relate to the moving of *citta*-born matter caused by $k\bar{a}yavi\tilde{n}\tilde{n}atti$.

There is an earth-element produced by *citta* that creates speech. The mode and the action of that earth-element, which is the cause of impingement of *kamma*-born matter, is called **verbal intimation** (*vacīviññatti*). Its function is to show intention. It manifests as the cause of the voice in speech. Its proximate cause is the *citta*-born earth-element (*cittaja pațhavī*). Then it is called *vacīviññatti*, because it makes one's intentions to be known and it is also to be known itself through the speech, called "voice of words" (*vacīghosa*).

Just as on seeing a sign for water – a cow-skull²⁴, etc., hoisted in a remote area – one knows there is water; even so, noticing the body-movements and the voice of speech, $k\bar{a}yavi\tilde{n}\tilde{n}atti$ and $vac\bar{v}i\tilde{n}\tilde{n}atti$ can be understood.

- 442. The **space-element** ($\bar{a}k\bar{a}sadh\bar{a}tu$) has the characteristic of delimiting matter ²⁵. Its function is to show its boundaries. It manifests as showing its limits, or as state of being untouched by the *bhūtas*, as being their holes and openings. Its proximate cause is the matter delimited. And it is on account of it that one can say of delimited material things: "This is above, below and around that".
- 443. **Lightness of matter** $(r\bar{u}passa\ lahut\bar{a})$ has the characteristic of non-slowness. Its function is to remove heaviness of matter. It manifests as light transformability. Its proximate cause is light matter.

²³ Viññāpetīti "viññatti" = viññatti makes others know (makes known); Viññāyatīti "viññatti" = viññatti makes to be known (itself).

²⁴ In remote country-side areas of ancient India it was tradition to mark a place where to find water by hoisting a cow's head or skull on a high post.

²⁵ To be exact, one should define its characteristic as " $r\bar{u}pakal\bar{a}papariccheda$ " - delimiting material groups ($r\bar{u}pa-kal\bar{a}pa$ s), not single $r\bar{u}pa$ s within one group ($kal\bar{a}pa$).

Malleability²⁶ of matter ($r\bar{u}passa mudut\bar{a}$) has the characteristic of non-rigidity. Its function is to dispel rigidity of matter. It manifests as non-opposition to any kind of action. Its proximate cause is malleable matter.

Fitness²⁷ of matter ($r\bar{u}passa kammaññat\bar{a}$) has the characteristic of fitness that is favourable to bodily action. Its function is to dispel unfitness. It manifests as non-weakness. Its proximate cause is fit matter.

These three do not abandon one another. Even so, there is lightness of matter, non-sluggishness and a state of physical quickness, like the condition of a healthy person. That [lightness] is produced by another condition, opposite of the imbalance of elements causing sluggishness of matter. That state of matter is called lightness of matter ($r\bar{u}passa\ lahut\bar{a}$).

There is softness of matter like well-pounded leather. It is a state of plasticity or pliability of being shaped in all movements. That is produced by another condition, opposite of the imbalance of elements, causing hardness of matter. Such a state of matter is called malleability of matter ($r\bar{u}passa\ mudut\bar{a}$).

Then, there is fitness for action of matter, a proper state for physical movement, like gold that has been refined. That is produced by another condition, opposite of the imbalance of elements, making an improper state of physical movement. Such a state of matter is called fitness of matter ($r\bar{u}passa kammannantan)$.

In this way the difference of them should be understood.

444. The **appearance of matter** ($r\bar{u}passa\ upacaya$) has the characteristic of setting up. Its function is to make matter emerge

 $^{^{26}}$ Because of malleability (plasticity, elasticity, softness, pliability) matter can be shaped.

²⁷ Because of fitness (wieldiness, ability, strength, adaptability) matter is fit or able to bear a physical action. Like a healthy body, which is pliable (*mudutā*), is fit and can do everything (*kammaññatā*).

from non-existence-state. It manifests as installing material qualities or as completed state of material qualities. Its proximate cause is a grown matter.

The **continuity of matter** ($r\bar{u}passa \ santati$) has the characteristic of continuity of appearance. Its function is continuity of linking. It manifests as non-interruption. Its proximate cause is a relinking matter. Both of these are terms for matter of birth, but owing to the difference of mode, or regarding to the candidate to be trained, the outline is given as "*upacaya* and *santati*".

But here there is a difference in the meaning, therefore in the explanation of these words it is said: "The appearance of $\bar{a}yatana$ is the appearance of matter ($r\bar{u}passa\ upacaya$). What is the appearance of matter, is the continuity of matter ($r\bar{u}passa\ santati$)." In the commentary too it is said: "Appearance ($\bar{a}caya$) is setting up, development (upacaya) is increasing, continuity (santati) is existence (pavatti)." Then it is compared: Appearance ($\bar{a}caya$) is compared with the coming up of water from a hole dug in the river bank; development (upacaya) is compared with its filling up; continuity (santati) is compared with its overflow. And in the end of the simile it is said: $\bar{A}caya$ is the appearance of matters in initial state. Upacaya is the development of those. Santati is the continuity of developed matters.

Decay ($r\bar{u}passa jarat\bar{a}$) has the characteristic of decay of matter. Its function is to lead towards ruin. It manifests as loss of freshness, although it does not loose its own nature, like oldness of paddy. Its proximate cause is a matter in the state of decay. That is said with reference to " $p\bar{a}katajara$ " (decay that is evident to see easily), like the ruin of teeth, etc., that is "broken-tooth" can be seen. The state of decay of mental states is the hidden decay. For the hidden decay there is no such change. The state of decay of earth, water, mountain, moon, sun, etc., is called " $av\bar{i}cijara$ ", non-interval decay²⁸. Regarding to that there is no such changing.

²⁸ These big objects are subject to decay too. They are decaying continuously without interruption or interval. But the difference is hard to see; the earth, the sun, etc. always look the same.

Impermanence of matter ($r\bar{u}passa$ aniccat \bar{a}) has the characteristic of complete dissolution. Its function is the sinking of matter. It manifests as ceasing and falling. Its proximate cause is a matter in the state of dissolution.

- 445. **Physical nutriment** (*kabalikāra āhāra*) has the characteristic of nutritive essence. Its function is to produce matter. It manifests as sustainment. Its proximate cause is a substance to be swallowed in morsels. It is a term for the nutritive essence through which beings sustain themselves.
- 446. These, firstly, are the material qualities that are mentioned in the text (*pāli*). But in the commentary other material qualities are presented: matter of power (*balarūpa*), of sperm (*sambhavarūpa*), of birth (*jātirūpa*), of sickness (*rogarūpa*), and in the opinion of some others, matter of torpor (*middha*). At first, the commentary rejects [matter of torpor] as "there is no" matter of torpor with the reference: "Your Venerable is truly the enlightened sage. You have no mental hindrances.²⁹" Among the others, *rogarūpa* is included by *jaratā* and *aniccatā-rūpa*. *Jātirūpa* is included by *upacaya* and *santati-rūpa*. *Sambhavarūpa* is included by *apodhātu*. Balarūpa is included by *vāyodhātu*. Therefore, even one of them does not exist separately. Thus *rūpa* is 28, no more, no less, as 24 kinds of derivative matter (*upāda-rūpa*) and the four kinds of great elements (*mahābhūta*).
- 447. All of them are of one kind. They are: 30
 - not root (na hetu),
 - without root (ahetuka),
 - dissociated from root (hetu-vipayutta),
 - with cause (sappaccaya),
 - mundane (lokiya),
 - the object of cankers (sāsava).

²⁹ Torpor (*middha*) is included in the five hindrances ($n\bar{v}varana$). The Buddha had no torpor, because he had eradicated all mental hindrances. If torpor would be a material quality, the Buddha must have had still torpor.

³⁰ This classification is according to the six pairs: hetu - na hetu, sahetuka - ahetuka, hetusampayutta - hetu-vipayutta, sappaccaya - appaccaya, lokiya - lokuttara, sāsava - anāsava.

They are of two kinds:

- internal (ajjhattika) and external (bāhira),
- gross (oļārika) and subtle (sukhuma),
- distant (*dūre*) and near (*santike*),
- produced (niphanna) and unproduced (aniphanna),
- sensitive matter (*pasādarūpa*) and insensitive matter (*napasādarūpa*),
- faculty (indriya) and non-faculty (anindriya),
- product of *kamma* (*upādiņņa*) and non-product of *kamma* (*anupādiņņa*), and so on.

Therein the fivefold matters beginning with eye (*cakkhu*) are internal, because they arise depending on the body; the rest are external, because they are excluded from it.

The 12-fold material qualities³¹ – nine matters beginning with eye-sensitivity, etc., and three elements excluding the water-element $(\bar{a}podh\bar{a}tu)$ – are gross $(o|\bar{a}rika)$, because they can be obtained by impingement. The rest are subtle (*sukhuma*) because they cannot be obtained by impingement.

Only those subtle matters are distant $(d\bar{u}re)$, because their nature is hard to penetrate. The others are near (*santike*), because their nature is easy to penetrate.

18 matters³² – the four elements, 13 matters beginning with eye and physical nutriment ($kabal\bar{i}k\bar{a}r\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$) – are produced (*niphanna*), because they are to be known through their own nature, without considering the nature of limitation (*pariccheda*), movement (*vikāra*) and characteristic (*lakkhaṇa*). The rest are unproduced (*aniphanna*), because they are not to be known through their own nature.

³¹ The 12 *rūpas* are: *cakkhu, sota, ghāna, jivhā, kāya, rūpa, sadda, gandha, rasa; pațhavī, tejo, vāyo.*

³² The 18 *rūpas* are: *pathavī*, *āpo*, *tejo*, *vāyo*; *cakkhu*, *sota*, *ghāna*, *jivhā*, *kāya*, *rūpa*, *sadda*, *gandha*, *rasa*, *itthindriya*, *purisindriya*, *jīvitindriya*, *hadayavatthu*; *āhāra*.

The five matters beginning with eye are sensitive matter $(pas\bar{a}dar\bar{u}pa)$, because they are clear like the surface of a mirror, receiving the object, visible form, etc. The others are insensitive matter $(napas\bar{a}dar\bar{u}pa)$, because they have no such nature.

Only sensitive matters ($pas\bar{a}dar\bar{u}pa$) together with the three matters, beginning with the faculty of femininity (*itthindriya*)³³, are faculty (*indriya*), in the sense of domination. The rest are non-faculty (*anindriya*), because they are not in such a sense.

The matters which will be mentioned later as *kamma*-born, are a product of *kamma* (*upādiņņa*), because they are brought about as a result of *kamma*. The rest are non-product of *kamma* (*anupādiņņa*), because they have a different nature.

448. Again, all of those matters are threefold by way of triplet such as visible triad, *kamma*-born-triad, etc. Therein, regarding gross matter ($ol\bar{a}rika \ r\bar{u}pa$), a visible form is visible with impact; the rest are invisible with impact; all the subtle matters (*sukhuma rupa*) are invisible without impact. So, firstly it is of three kinds according to the visible triad.

Then, according to the *kamma*-born triad, that matter born from *kamma* is *kamma*-born (*kammaja*); that matter born from another condition is not-*kamma*-born (*akammaja*); that matter not born from anything is neither-*kamma*-born-nor-not-*kamma*-born matter (*neva kammaja nākammaja*).

Then, according to the consciousness-born triad, that matter born from consciousness (*citta*) is *citta*-born (*cittaja*); that matter born from another condition is not-*citta*-born (*acittaja*); that matter not born from anything is neither-*citta*-born-nor-not-*citta*-born matter (*neva cittaja nācittaja*).

Then, according to the nutriment-born triad, that matter born from nutriment ($\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$) is $\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ -born ($\bar{a}h\bar{a}raja$); that matter born

³³ Altogether 8 rūpas: cakkhu, sota, ghāna, jivhā, kāya; itthindriya, purisindriya, jīvitindriya.

from another condition is not- $\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ -born ($an\bar{a}h\bar{a}raja$); that matter not born from anything is neither- $\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ -born-nor-not- $\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ -born matter ($neva\ \bar{a}h\bar{a}raja\ na-an\bar{a}h\bar{a}raja$).

Then, according to the temperature-born triad, that matter born from temperature (*utu*) is *utu*-born (*utuja*); that matter born from another condition is not-*utu*-born (*anutuja*); that matter not born from anything is neither-*utu*-born-nor-not-*utu*-born matter (*neva utuja na-anutuja*).

In this way matter, is threefold according to the triad of *kamma*-born, etc.

449. Again, matter is fourfold according to the tetrads of *dittha*, etc., *rūparūpa*, etc., *vatthu*, etc.

Of them, visible form $(r\bar{u}pa)$ is "to be seen" (dittha) due to being the object of seeing. Sound (sadda) is "to be heard" (suta) due to being the object of hearing. The three matters, smell (gandha), taste (rasa) and tangibility (photthabba) are "to be contacted" (muta) due to being the objects of the sense faculties, which seize their objects in contact. The rest are "to be known" (vinnatata) due to being the objects only of the mind. Thus, firstly, the matters are of four kinds according the tetrad beginning with dittha.

Then, the matter of product (*nipphanna*), here is concrete matter ($r\bar{u}par\bar{u}pa$). The space-element ($\bar{a}k\bar{a}sadh\bar{a}tu$) is limitation (*paricchedar\bar{u}pa*). The matters beginning with $k\bar{a}yavi\tilde{n}\tilde{n}atti$ and ending with kamma $\tilde{n}\tilde{n}at\bar{a}^{34}$ are movement (*vik\bar{a}rar\bar{u}pa*).

Birth $(j\bar{a}ti)$, decay (jara) and dissolution (bhanga) are characteristics $(lakkhana-r\bar{u}pa)$. In this way, matter is fourfold according to the tetrad beginning with $r\bar{u}par\bar{u}pa$.

What is known as matter of heart (*hadayarūpa*), is a base (*vatthu*), not a door (*dvāra*). The two intimations (*viññatti*) are doors,

³⁴ These are: kāyaviññatti, vacīviññatti, ākāsa, lahutā, mudutā and kammaññatā.

not bases. Sensitive matters $(p\bar{a}sadar\bar{u}pa)$ are base and door as well. The rest are neither base nor door. In this way, matter is fourfold according to the tetrad beginning with *vatthu*.

450. Again, the matters are fivefold dealing with matter born of one cause, born of two causes, three causes, four causes and born of no cause. The matters born only of *kamma* and born only of *citta*, are "born of one [cause]" (*ekaja*). Of them, the matters of faculties together with the heart-base are the matters that are born only of *kamma*. The two *viññatti* are the matters that are born only of *citta*. Then, the matter which is born of *citta* and *utu* is called "born of two [causes]" (*dvija*); that is only sound (*sadda*). The matters born of *citta*, *utu* and *āhāra* is called "born of three [causes]" (*tija*); that are only the three matters beginning with *lahutā*. The matters born of four causes beginning with *kamma* are called "born of four [causes]" (*catuja*); that are the remaining matters apart from the characteristicmatters (*lakkhaṇa-rūpa*).

Lakkhaṇarūpa is a matter which is born of no condition (*nakutocijāta*). Why? Because the arising moment has no arising moment³⁵, and the other two are the mere decay and dissolution of what has arisen. In this context

"The visible data base, the sound base, the odour base, the flavour base, the tangible-data base, the space element, the waterelement, lightness of matter, malleability of mater, wieldiness of matter, continuity of matter and physical food – these states are consciousness-originated" [Dhammasanganī 667],

 $j\bar{a}ti$ is mentioned to be born of some conditions because birth is to be seen at the moment when the producing causes of matter are working³⁶.

This, firstly, is the section of the detailed explanation of the aggregate of matter ($r\bar{u}pakkhandha$).

³⁵ Arising is itself the rising moment. Like fire cannot be burnt by another fire.

³⁶ Example: *Citta* produces sound-matter. *Jāti* is produced simultaneously but in itself is not a product of *citta* – it just appears as a sign.

Viññāņakkhandhakathā Discourse on Viññāņakkhandha

451. Among the other aggregates (*kandhas*), whatever has the characteristic of feeling, taken together, is called "*Vedanākkhandha*". Whatever has the characteristic of perceiving, taken together, is called "*Saññākkhandha*". Whatever has the characteristic of creating³⁷, taken together, is called "*Saňkhārakkhandha*". Whatever has the characteristic of awareness [of an object], taken together, is called "*Viññāṇakkhandha*".

Herein, I shall place *viññānakkhandha* at first. The explanation will start with *viññānakkhandha* too, because if *viññānakkhandha* is known, the others are easier to understand.

It was said, whatever has the characteristic of awareness of an object, taken together, is known as *viññāṇakkhandha*. How *viññāṇa* has the characteristic of awareness? As said in Pāḷi:

"It is aware [of this], it is aware [of that], friend, therefore it is called awareness (*viññāṇa*)." [Majjhima Nikāya, I, 292]

Viññāṇa, citta and *mano* are the same in meaning. That [*viññāṇa*] is onefold according the characteristic of awareness; but it is threefold regarding its types: wholesome (*kusala*), unwholesome (*akusala*) and others (*abyākata*)³⁸.

³⁷ "Abhisankharana" here means: forming, organizing, arranging, creating. Sankhāra arranges rūpa to be rūpa; it arranges vedanā to be vedanā; it arranges sannā to be sannā and vinnāna to be vinnāna. Sankhāra is the worker, the organizer, the creator. It creates or forms things as they really are. By sankhāra (cetasikas like lobha, dosa, moha, alobha, adosa, amoha, cetanā... working together) the cittas can be differentiated. Sankhārakkhandha is kamma and kilesa. These cetasikas create a being to be a human, a dog, an ant or a deity. [For reference see Kaccaniya-Sutta of Samyutta Nikāya, Khandhavagga.]

³⁸ Literally: *a-byākata* = not talked about. Mostly translated as "indeterminate". Meaning: *abyākata dhammas* (*vipāka, kiriya, rūpa, Nibbāna*) cannot be described by the way of *kusala* and *akusala*, they are non-of-them and are named otherwise. Here "*abyākata*" refers to *vipāka*- and *kiriya cittas*.

452. Of them, wholesome consciousness (kusala) is fourfold according to the division of plane: kāmāvacara, rūpāvacara, arūpāvacara and lokuttara. Of them, kāmāvacara is eightfold as to division of pleasant feeling (somanassa), neutral feeling (upekkhā), knowledge (ñāņa) and promptitude (saṅkhāra). What are they? That is [consciousness] accompanied by pleasant feeling, associated with knowledge, prompted or unprompted; likewise dissociated from knowledge. Or [consciousness] is accompanied by neutral feeling (upekkhā), associated with knowledge, prompted or unprompted; likewise dissociated from knowledge. Or [consciousness] is accompanied by neutral feeling (upekkhā), associated with knowledge, prompted or unprompted; likewise, dissociated from knowledge.

Without hesitation and unprompted by others, one happily performs merit such as offering, and the happiness is due to the goodness of the thing given and the recipient, or due to other conditions of happiness. He holds also the right view that offering produces its result. At that time within him arises a *citta* with pleasant feeling (*somanassa*), associated with knowledge (nana) and unprompted (*asankhāra*).

Then, when one performs merit as said before, happily and holding the right view, [but] hesitating and prompted by others due to lack of free generosity, a prompted (*sasankhāra*) *citta* arises within him.

In this sense, the term "promptitude" (*sankhāra*) is used for prior effort by himself or by others.

Then, young children with the habit caused by seeing the practice of relatives, on seeing monks, immediately offer what they have or pay respect happily. At that time the third *citta* arises.

But when they do so encouraged by relatives, "Do offer, do pay homage", the fourth *citta* arises within them.

Regarding the four types, it arises without happiness, because there is no excellence of the thing given, the recipient, etc., or because the lack of suitable conditions for pleasant feeling. Then the remaining four *cittas* with neutral feeling (*upekkhā vedanā*) arise. In this way, it should be understood that sense-sphere wholesome consciousness ($k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara\ kusala\ citta$) is of eight kinds, being classified according to pleasant feeling (*somanassa vedanā*), neutral feeling (*upekkhā vedanā*), knowledge ($n\bar{a}na$) and promptitude (*sankhāra*).

The consciousness of the fine-material sphere ($r\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara\ citta$) is fivefold according to the division of association with the *jhāna*-factors. What [*cittas*] are they? The first is associated with initial application (*vitakka*), sustained application (*vicāra*), rapture ($p\bar{t}ti$), happiness (*sukha*) and concentration (*samādhi*³⁹). From those, the second passes over *vitakka*, the third passes over *vicāra*, the fourth is with detached $p\bar{t}ti$, and the fifth with the cessation of *sukha* associates with neutral feeling (*upekkhā vedanā*) and concentration (*samādhi*).

Consciousness of the immaterial sphere ($ar\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara\ citta$) is fourfold according to association with the four $ar\bar{u}pa$ - $jh\bar{a}nas$. According to the way afore said, what are they? The first is associated with the $jh\bar{a}na$ based on infinite space, briefly talked. The second, third and fourth are associated respectively with the $jh\bar{a}na$ based on first $ar\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara\ citta$ (infinite vinnana), etc.

Supramundane consciousness (*lokuttara citta*) is fourfold according to association with the four paths (*magga*).

In this way, firstly, kusala cittas are of 21 kinds.

453. Then, **unwholesome consciousness** (*akusala citta*) is of one kind only as *kāmāvacara* according to plane. According to root it is threefold: attachment-rooted (*lobhamūla*), hatred-rooted (*dosamūla*) and delusion-rooted (*mohamūla*). Of them *lobhamūla citta* is eightfold according to the division of pleasant or neutral feeling, wrong view and promptitude. What are they? *Citta* with pleasant feeling (*somanassa vedanā*) is associated with wrong view (*ditthi*), unprompted or promted (*saňkhāra*). The other *cittas* with pleasant feeling are dissociated from wrong view. *Citta* with neutral feeling

³⁹ Usually the fifth *jhāna*-factor is mentioned as "*ekaggatā*", meaning one-pointedness.

(*upekkhā vedanā*) is associated with wrong view, unprompted or prompted. The other *cittas* with neutral feeling are dissociated from wrong view.

One happily enjoys sensual pleasure or believes the auspicious sight, etc. as good luck, holding such wrong view "there is no fault in enjoying sensual pleasure" with a keen mind without being prompted by others, at that time, the first *akusala citta* arises. When doing so with a hesitating and prompted mind, the second *akusala citta* arises. But one, without holding wrong view, happily has sex, or wants to take other's property, or takes other's property with a keen mind without being prompted by others. At that time, the third *akusala citta* arises. When doing so with a hesitating and prompted mind, the fourth *akusala citta* arises. When doing so with a hesitating and prompted mind, the fourth *akusala citta* arises. When doing so with a hesitating and prompted mind, the fourth *akusala citta* arises. When *cittas* in those 4 types are without pleasant feeling, because of lack of excellent sensual pleasure or lack of other conditions of happiness, the four remaining *cittas* arise with neutral feeling (*upekkhā vedanā*).

After that, the hatred-rooted *citta* is only of two kinds as accompanied with unpleasant feeling (*domanassa*), associated with hatred, unprompted or prompted. The arising of the two *cittas* should be known in the killing of beings with a keen or hesitating mind.

Delusion-rooted *citta* is twofold as accompanied by neutral feeling ($upekkh\bar{a}$), either associated with doubt or restlessness. Their arising is to be known at the moment of wavering or of distraction. In this way, *akusala viññāna* is 12-fold.

454. **The other** (*abhyākata*) *citta* is twofold according to division of time: resultant (*vipāka*) and functional (*kiriya*).

Of them, $vip\bar{a}ka$ is fourfold according to plane: sense-sphere ($k\bar{a}m\bar{a}$ -vacara), fine-material sphere ($r\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara$), immaterial sphere ($ar\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara$) and supramundane (lokuttara). Therein, the **rootless consciousness** (*ahetuka citta*), which is the absence of resultant *hetu*, anti-craving (*alobha*), etc., is of eight kinds. They are eye-, ear-, nose-, tongue-, body-consciousness, the mind-element (*manodhātu*) with the function of receiving, the two mind-consciousness-elements

(manoviñnanadhatu) with the function of investigating, etc. – altogether eight.

Eye-consciousness has the characteristic of awareness of a visible object based on the eye. Its function is to be aware of mere visible form. It manifests as a state of turning towards a visible form. Its proximate cause is the disappearance of the functional mindelement⁴⁰ with a visible form as object. Ear-, nose-, tongue-, bodyconsciousness have the characteristic of awareness of sound, etc. based on ear, etc. Their function is to be aware of mere sound, etc. It manifests as a state of turning towards the sound, etc. Its proximate cause is the disappearance of the functional mind-element with a sound-object, etc.

After eye-consciousness, etc., the mind-element has the characteristic of awareness of a visible form, etc. Its function is to receive (*sampaticchana*) the visible form, etc. It manifests as a state of receiving the visible form, etc. Its proximate cause is the disappearing of eye-consciousness, etc.

The twofold ⁴¹mind-consciousness-element has the characteristic of investigating (*santīraņa*) the six objects. Its function is to investigate, etc. It manifests as a state of such investigating. Its proximate cause is the heart-base (*hadayavatthu*). The division of that investigating consciousness (*santīraṇa-citta*) is according to pleasant (*somanassa*) and neutral (*upekkhā*) feeling and according to two positions or five positions respectively. To be explained, the first one of them associates with pleasant feeling because of arising towards a mere desirable object. It has two positions, because it arises as investigating (*santīraṇa*) and as succeeding-of-javana (*tadārammaṇa*), in the five doors⁴² after receiving (*sampațicchana*) and in the end of *javana*.

⁴⁰ That is *pañcadvārāvajjana citta*, which has the visible form as its object. In the thought-process (*vīthi*) it is immediately followed by *cakkhu-viññāṇa*.

⁴¹ In the translator's opinion, "*ahetukavipāka*" at the beginning of the sentence does not make sense here, so it is not translated.

The next one associates with neutral feeling because it is arising towards a moderate desirable object. It has five positions, because it arises as investigating (santīrana), succeeding-of-javana (tadārammana), relinking (patisandhi), life-continuum (bhavanga) and death (cuti). Then this eight-fold resultant consciousness without hetu is of two kinds, because of being constant (nivata) or inconstant (aniyata). It is of three kinds because of neutral, physical and mental happiness. To explain them, the five viññāna-cittas are with a constant object, because they arise towards only the visible form, etc. respectively. The rest are with inconstant object. It is true, of them, mind-element arises towards five objects, beginning with visible form. The two mind-consciousness-elements arise towards six objects. But of them, body-consciousness (kāyavinññāna) associates with physical happiness (sukha); the mind-consciousness-elements with the two positions associate with pleasant feeling (somanassa); the rest associates with neutral feeling (upekkhā).

In this way, firstly, the wholesome resultant consciousness (*kusala vipāka citta*) without root (*hetu*) should be understood as eight-fold.

Then, **resultant** *citta* **with root** (*sahetuka-vipāka*) that associates with a resultant *hetu* like anti-craving (*alobha*), etc., is of eight kinds, like $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara\ kusala$ according the division of pleasant feeling, etc. This *citta* does not occur towards the six objects offering $d\bar{a}na$, etc., as *kusala* does. *Kusala citta* arises towards six objects offering $d\bar{a}na$, etc. But this *citta* is unlike *kusala*, for it arises towards the six objects that are included in the inferior *dhammas*, as relinking (*pați-sandhi*), life-continuum (*bhavanga*), death (*cuti*) and succeeding-ofjavana (*tadārammana*). But the states promted or unpromted here should be understood through its direct cause ($\bar{a}gamana$)⁴³, etc. There

⁴² In the translator's opinion, the *Pāli "pañcadvāre*" alone here is wrong. The correct *Pāli* should be: *"Santīraņa-tadārammaņa-vasena pañcadvāre sampaţicchanā-vasāne ceva javanāvasāne ca pavattanato."*

⁴³ "Direct cause, etc.", means the source it has come from and its condition. Herein, in the opinion of certain teachers, the result of the unprompted wholesome is unprompted and the result of the prompted is prompted, like the movement of the face's reflection in a mirror when the face moves; thus it is "due to the source it has

is no difference [between *kusala* and *vipāka-citta*] in associated *dhammas*. But *vipāka citta* has no own action like a reflected face in the mirror. *Kusala citta* has its own action like the face.

The resultant unwholesome (akusala vipāka) is only without root (ahetuka). That is of seven kinds: eye-, ear-, nose-, tongue-, body-consciousness, mind-element with the function of receiving (sampaticchana), mind-consciousness-element with the function of investigating (santīraņa) having five positions. That citta can be known according to characteristic, etc. in the way as said of the wholesome resultant without *hetu*. Though wholesome resultants are with desirable or moderately desirable object, these [unwholesome resultants] have undesirable and moderately undesirable objects. Then, those [wholesome resultants] are of three kinds as division of neutral (*upekkhā*), physically pleasant (*sukha*) and mentally pleasant (somanassa). These [unwholesome resultants] are of two kinds as physical pain (dukkha) and neutral feeling (upekkhā). To be explained here, body-consciousness is accompanied only by pain; the rest is accompanied by neutral feeling. That upekkhā is less in pain, unlike *dukkha* which is much in pain. The *upekkhā* of the others [wholesome resultants] is less in pleasure unlike sukha which is much in pleasure.

In this way, sense-sphere resultant consciousness (*kāmāvacara vipāka citta*) is of 23 kinds: these seven unwholesome resultants and the former sixteen wholesome resultants.

After that, the **resultants of the fine-material sphere** (*rūpāvacara vipāka*) are of five kinds like *kusala*. But *kusala* arises in the process of *javana* as attainment. This resultant consciousness arises in birth as *pațisandh*i, [after that as] *bhavanga* and *cuti*.

Like *rūpāvacara vipāka*, the **resultants of the immaterial sphere** (*arūpāvacara vipāka*) are of four kinds like *kusala*. And the

come from". But in the opinion of other teachers the unprompted arises due to powerful *kamma* as condition and the prompted does so due to weak *kamma*; thus it is "due to its condition" [Mahā Tīka, commentary of Visuddhimagga, 474].

division of arising of those are in the same way as said in $r\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara$.

The **supramundane resultants** (*lokuttara vipāka*) are of four kinds, because they are the fruition (*phala*) of the four paths (*magga*). They arise in two ways, in the way of the process of path (*maggavīthi*), and by way of attainment (*samāpatti*).

In this way, the whole resultant consciousness (*vipāka viññāṇa*) is of 36 kinds in the four planes.

Functional consciousness (*kiriya citta*) is of three kinds according to plane as sense-sphere ($k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara$), fine-material sphere ($r\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara$) and formless sphere ($ar\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara$). Of them, $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara$ is two-fold, without *hetu* and with *hetu*. Of them, the *citta* without *hetu*, being absent of functional *hetu*, *alobha*, etc., is of two kinds: mind-element (*manodhātu*) and mind-consciousness-element (*manoviññāṇadhātu*). Therein, *manodhātu* has the characteristic of awareness of visible form, etc., preceding *cakkhu-viññāṇa*, etc. Its function is attention. It manifests as a state of turning towards a visible object, etc. Its proximate cause is the cessation of *bhavanga*. It associates only with *upekkhā*.

The **mind-consciousness-element** (*manoviññāṇadhātu*) is of two kinds: common ($s\bar{a}dh\bar{a}rana$) and uncommon ($as\bar{a}dh\bar{a}rana$). Of them, the common, being functional without *hetu*, associated with neutral feeling⁴⁴, has the characteristic of awareness of six objects. Its function is to determine (*votthapana*) in five doors and to attend ($\bar{a}vajjana$) in the mind-door respectively. It manifests as a state of that [determination and attending]. Its proximate cause is the disappearance of one of them: resultant mind-consciousness-element without *hetu* [that means *santīrana* – in the five doors], or of *bhavanga* [in the mind-door].

Smile-producing consciousness (hasituppāda), being uncommon, functional, without hetu, associated with pleasant

⁴⁴ That is the mind-door-adverting consciousness (manodvārāvajjana).

feeling, has the characteristic of awareness of the six objects. Its function is to produce smile (*hasituppāda*) within Arahants towards lower (*anuļāra*) objects⁴⁵. It manifests as a state that produces smile. Its proximate cause is definitely the heart-base.

In this way, kāmāvacara kiriya without hetu is of three kinds.

After that, **functional with** $hetu^{46}$ is of eight kinds like [mahā-] kusala as division of pleasant feeling, etc. Actually it is different here: kusala arises in sekkhas and puthujjanas, but these [mahākiriya] arise only in Arahants.

In this way, kāmāvacara kiriya is 11-fold.

Then, $r\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara$ and $ar\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara$ kiriya are of five kinds and four kinds like [$r\bar{u}pa$ and $ar\bar{u}pa$] kusala respectively. The difference of these *cittas* and kusala should be understood by its arising in Arahants only.

In this way, the whole *kiriya cittas* in three planes are of 20 kinds.

455. In this way, the whole types of consciousness are 89:

- 21 kusala,
- 12 akusala,
- 36 *vipāka* and
- 20 kiriya.

These *cittas* arise in 14 modes:

relinking	pațisandhi
life-continuum	bhavaṅga
adverting, attending	āvajjana

 $^{^{45}}$ $ul\bar{a}ra$ = beautiful, high, higher, superior (e.g. human beings, *Dhamma...*); *anulāra* = ugly, low, lower, inferior, an object from the sense-sphere (e.g. a skeleton, animals like a pig, beings quarreling or indulged in sense-pleasure...). The *arahants* – in contrary to the *puthujjanas* - smile by seeing an "*anulāra*" object, which they neither respect, desire nor fear.

⁴⁶ That means great functional consciousness (*mahākiriya citta*).

dassana
savana
ghāyana
sāyana
phusana
sampațicchana
santīraņa
voțțhapana
javana
tadārammaņa47
cuti.

How? At the moment of rebirth nine resultant *cittas* arise as **rebirth-linking** (*pațisandhi*):

For deities and human beings the eight $k\bar{a}mavip\bar{a}ka$ cittas with *hetu*, and for those human beings as *paṇdaka*⁴⁸, etc., *upekkhā-santīraṇa*, which is a result of inferior $k\bar{a}ma-kusala$ with two *hetus*, arises with one of the objects: *kamma, kamma-nimitta* or *gati-nimitta*, that manifests at the moment of dying.

Through the power of $r\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara$ and $ar\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara$ kusala beings come to be reborn in the plane of $r\bar{u}pa$ and $ar\bar{u}pa$ respectively. At the moment of rebirth nine $r\bar{u}pa$ - and $ar\bar{u}pa$ -vip $\bar{a}ka$ cittas arise as a rebirth-linking with the object of only kamma-nimitta, which manifests at the moment of dying.

Through the power of *akusala* beings come to be reborn in woeful states $(ap\bar{a}ya)$. At that moment of rebirth, *upekkhā-santīraņa* as result of *akusala* arises as rebirth-linking within them, with one of the objects of *kamma, kamma-nimitta* and *gati-nimitta*, which manifests at the moment of dying.

⁴⁷ *Tadārammaņa* = with the object of *javana*, succeeding of *javana*-object, "succeeding-of-javana" (mostly translated as "registration", which does not make sense for the translator).

⁴⁸ In *Vinaya* five meanings for *pandaka* are given: Eunuch, etc.

In this way, firstly, the arising of 19 *vipāka-cittas* as *pațisandhi* should be understood.

Then, when the *patisandhi-citta* has ceased, **life-continuum** (*bhavanga-citta*) arises. That *bhavanga-citta* following such and such *patisandhi-citta*, being a result of such and such *kamma*, appears towards that object like the *patisandhi-citta*. It arises repeatedly. In this way, it continues innumerably. While awake, it arises like a current in the river, when the other interrupting cittas do not arise. During dreamless sleep, it continues to arise even countlessly. Thus, the arising of only those *cittas* as *bhavanga* should be understood.

In this way, the *bhavanga* process continues.

When the sense faculties within beings become capable of catching an object, the visible form comes into contact with the eye. Depending on the visible form, the impingement on the sensitive eye occurs. Then, through the power of impingement, it causes *bhavanga* to vibrate. When *bhavanga* has ceased the **functional mind-element** (*kiriya manodhātu citta*)⁴⁹, seeming to cut off *bhavanga*, arises only towards that visible form as object, performing the function of attention ($\bar{a}vajjana$). So too in the case of the ear-door, etc.

Then, when the sixfold object comes into contact with the minddoor, it causes *bhavanga* to vibrate. After that, seeming to cut off *bhavanga*, the **mind-door-adverting consciousness** (*manodvārāvajjana citta*⁵⁰) arises performing the function of attention ($\bar{a}vajjana$).

In this way, the two *āvajjana kiriya viññāņas* should be understood.

⁴⁹ This is the five-door-adverting consciousness (*pañcadvārāvajjana*).

⁵⁰ In the original $P\bar{a}li$ it is mentioned as: "*ahetukakiriyamanoviññāṇadhātu upekkhā-sahagata*" = rootless functional mind-consciousness-element associated with neutral feeling – that is the mind-door-adverting consciousness (*manodvārāvajjana citta*).

Then, after *āvajjana*, firstly, in the eye-door, there arises **eye-consciousness** (*cakkhu-viññāṇa*) based on the sensitive eye, performing the function of seeing. In the ear-door, etc., there arises ear-, nose-, tongue- or body-consciousness respectively, performing the function of hearing, etc. If these *cittas* arise towards a desirable or moderately desirable object, they become wholesome resultant. If they arise towards an undesirable or moderately undesirable object, they become unwholesome resultant.

In this way, the arising of the 10 *vipāka viññāņas* should be understood as the function of seeing, hearing, smelling, tasting and touching.

With reference to these words [Vibhaṅga 184], "just after the eye-consciousness has arisen and ceased, the suitable *manodhātu citta, mano, mānasa*⁵¹ arises". Just after the eye-consciousness, etc., the **manodhātu [sampațicchana]** arises receiving the object of only these preceding cittas. It is wholesome resultant, if the preceding *citta* is *kusala vipāka*, and unwholesome resultant, if the preceding *citta* is *akusala vipāka*.

In this way, the two *vipāka viññāņa* should be known as receiving (*sampațicchana*).

With reference to these words [Vibhanga 184], "just after manodhātu citta having arisen and ceased, the suitable manoviññānadhātu citta (mano, mānassa) arises". Checking the object received by manodhātu citta (sampațicchana), the **resultant** manoviññānadhātu citta (santīrana) without hetu arises. It is unwholesome resultant, if the preceding citta is akusala vipāka, or, if the preceding citta is kusala vipāka, it is wholesome resultant with pleasant feeling on a desirable object, or with neutral feeling on a moderately desirable object. In this way, the appearance of the three vipāka viññāna should be understood as performing the function of checking (santīrana)⁵².

⁵¹ These terms are all synonyms of *citta*.

Just after *santīraņa*, *kiriya-ahetuka-manoviññāṇadhātu* associated with neutral feeling arises selecting only that object. In this way, the appearance of one *kiriya citta* as selecting $(votthapana^{53})$ should be understood.

Then, after *votthapana citta*, one of these *javana cittas* – 8 *mahākusala*, 12 *akusala* and the 9 *kāma kiriya* – arises as *javana* for six or seven times on the selected object, a visible form, etc., if it is large. This is, firstly, the way in the five doors. Then, in the mind-door, just after *manodvārāvajjana*, only those same *javana-cittas* arise. After *gotrabhu*, one of these *cittas* that has obtained its condition, - 5 *rūpāvacara kusala*, 5 *rūpāvacara kiriya*, 4 *arūpāvacara kusala*, 4 *arūpāvacara kiriya*, 4 *magga* or 4 *phala cittas* of *lokuttara* – arises as a *javana*. In this way, the appearance of the 55 *kusala*, *akusala*, *kiriya* and *vipāka-cittas* as a *javana* should be understood.

After *javana*, in the case of a very large object, in the five-doors and a clear object in the mind-door, one of the resultant *cittas* – 8 *mahāvipāka* and 3 *santīraņa cittas* – arises once or twice within beings of the *kāma*-plane at the end of *kāma-javanas*. The *citta* [of them] occurs according to these conditions: desirable object, etc., previous *kamma* and preceding *javana-cittas*, etc. On the object different from that of *bhavanga*, it follows the preceding *javana* like a current that for a few moments follows the boat going upstream. That *citta*, although it should occur on the object of *bhavanga*, is called "*tadārammaņa*", because it makes the object of *javana* its object. In this way, the 11 *vipāka cittas* should be understood as succeeding-of-javana's object (*tadārammaņa*).

⁵² Santīraņa is commonly translated as "investigating". But as a *vipāka-citta* it is very weak and can check only very quickly, not carefully. Within a short moment it only passes through or let pass the object.

⁵³ *Votthapana, votthappana*, or *votthabbana* is mostly translated as "determining" or "deciding". But this *kiriya citta* is weak and only can analyze, classify, differentiate, distinguish roughly. It selects the object, which has been received and checked quickly by the previous *cittas, sampaticchana* and *santīrana*.

Then, after *tadārammaņa*, only *bhavaṅga* arises. Then, after *bhavaṅga*, again only *bhavaṅga* arises. When *bhavaṅga* has ceased, again *āvajjana*, etc. arises. In this way, the mental process (*cittasantāna*) used by its conditions repeatedly arises according to natural mental order up to the final cessation of *bhavaṅga* in one life. Thus, after *bhavaṅga* arises *āvajjana*, after *āvajjana* arises, seeing consciousness, etc.

The last *bhavanga-citta* in one life is called *cuti*, because it passes away from that life-existence. Therefore, that **death-consciousness** (*cuti-citta*) is also of 19 kinds only. Thus, the appearance of 19 resultant *viññānas* should be understood as *cuti*.

Then, after *cuti, patisandhi* arises. After *patisandhi*, again *bhavanga* arises. In this way the unbroken mental process arises continuously in beings who pass to and fro in life, destiny, place $(thiti)^{54}$ and abode. But among those who attained Arahantship, the mental process totally ceases, when *cuti-citta* has ceased.

These are some hints on the detailed information dealing with *viññāņakkhandha*.

⁵⁴ That means the seven *viññāṇa-tṭhiti* (places or stations of *viññāṇa, where viññāṇa stands or exists*), which are mentioned in Suttanta, e.g. Aṅguttara Nikāya, 7, 41.

Vedanākkhandhakathā

Discourse on Vedanākkhandha

456. Now it is said: Whatever has the characteristic of feeling, taken together, is to be known as the "aggregate of feeling" (*vedanākkhandha*).

Therein, the phenomenon that has the characteristic of feeling is only *vedanā*. It is as the text says:

"Friend, it feels [this], it feels [that], therefore it is called *vedanā*." [Majjhima Nikāya, I, 292]

Then, that *vedanā* is of one kind as individual characteristic of feeling. But according to the type, it is threefold, as wholesome (*kusala*), unwholesome (*akusala*) and the others (*abyākata*). Therein, it should be understood: The *vedanā* associated with *kusala cittas* – that is said as *kāma kusala* of eight kinds according to the division of *somanassa, upekkhā, ñāņa* and *sankhāra* – is *kusala. Vedanā* associated with *akusala cittas* is *akusala. Vedanā* associated with *abyākata cittas* is *abyākata*.

That *vedanā* according to the division of its own nature is of five kinds: bodily pleasant (*sukha*), bodily painful (*dukkha*), mentally pleasant (*somanassa*), mentally unpleasant (*domanassa*) and neutral (*upekkhā*).

Of them, **sukha** is that, which associates with wholesome resultant body-consciousness. **Dukkha** is unwholesome resultant. **Somanassa** is that, which associates with 62 *cittas*, regarding to $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara$: four *kusala* resultant without *hetu*, one resultant without *hetu*, four *kiriya* with *hetu*, one *kiriya* without *hetu*, four *akusala*; concerning $r\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara$: four *kusala* except the fifth *jhāna*, four *vipāka* and four *kiriya*; from *lokuttara* the remaining 32, except the fifth *jhāna*. There is no *lokuttara-citta* which is without *jhāna*⁵⁵. It is

⁵⁵ That means that all *lokuttara-cittas* are possible to classify with *jhāna*. All 8 *lokuttara-cittas* can be combined and classified according to the 5 *jhānas* to get the

domanassa which associates with two *akusala cittas*. It is *upekkhā* which associates with the remaining 55 *cittas*.

Of them, *sukha* has the characteristic of experiencing a desirable tangible object. Its function is the increase of associated *dhammas*. It manifests as physical enjoyment. Its proximate cause is the controlling faculty of the sensitive body.

Dukkha has the characteristic of experiencing an undesirable tangible object. Its function is the decrease⁵⁶ of associated *dhammas*. It manifests as physical suffering. Its proximate cause is the controlling faculty of the sensitive body.

Somanassa has the characteristic of experiencing a desirable object. Its function is to experience the object anyhow with the mode of desire. It manifests as mental enjoyment. Its proximate cause is mental tranquillity (*passaddhi*).

Domanassa has the characteristic of experiencing an undesirable object. Its function is to experience the object anyhow with the mode of aversion. It manifests as mental suffering. Its proximate cause is the heart-base definitely.

 $Upekkh\bar{a}$ has the characteristic of moderately experiencing. Its function is neither increase nor decrease of the associated states. It manifests as a peaceful state. Its proximate cause is a *citta* without $p\bar{t}i$.

These are some hints on the detailed information dealing with *vedanākkhandha*.

⁴⁰ *lokuttara-cittas*. That is only the classification and it does not mean that *lokuttara cittas* can be obtained only by *jhānas*. The *jhānas* are not necessary for attainments.

⁵⁶ In *Pāli: milāpana* literally means "cause to wither".

Saññākkhandhakathā

Discourse on Saññākkhandha

457. Now, whatever has the characteristic of noting, taken together, is to be known as *saññākhandha*. Here also, what has the characteristic of noting is only *saññā*. It is as the text says:

"Friend, it notes [this], it notes [that], therefore it is called *saññā*." [Majjhima Nikāya, I, 292]

Then, that sanna n n is of one kind according to individual characteristic of noting. But according to type, it is threefold as wholesome (*kusala*), unwholesome (*akusala*) and the others (*abyākata*). Of them, *kusala* [*sannā*] is that which associates with *kusala citta*. *Akusala* is that which associates with *akusala citta*. *Abyākata* is that which associates with *abyākata citta*. There is not any *citta* which is dissociated from *sannā*. Therefore, the divisions of *sannā* are as many as those of consciousness.

Although all types of sanna n n have the same divisions as consciousness, they have the same characteristic of noting. Its function is marking that causes to note again, "this is only that", like the carpenters mark on the timber, etc. It manifests as keeping in the mind as marked before, like a blind who describes⁵⁷ an elephant. Its proximate cause is the object conceived in the mind, like the

Its proximate cause is the object conceived in the mind, like the perception which arises in a young deer on seeing scarecrows, thinking they are men.

These are some hints on the detailed information dealing with *saññākkhandha*.

⁵⁷ dassaka = a person, who makes another to see, who shows, who describes. dissati or passati = to see; but dasseti = to show, to describe, to cause to see. [Udāna, 68-9 Nadaditthiya Sutta].

Sankhārakkhandhakathā

Discourse on Sankhārakkhandha

458. Then, whatever has the characteristic of working together⁵⁸, taken together, is to be known as *sankhārakkhandha*. Herein, the characteristic of working together means the characteristic of cooperating. What is this? It is only mental activities (*sankhāra*). It is as the text says:

"O monks, they work together for the things that should be done, therefore they are called *sankhāra*." [Majjhima Nikāya, I, 292]

They have the characteristic of working together. Their function is cooperation. They are manifested by being active. Their proximate cause is the remaining three aggregates.

In this way, according to characteristic etc., they are of only one kind. But according to type, they are of three kinds: **wholesome** (*kusala*), unwholesome (*akusala*) and the others (*abyākata*). Of them, wholesome [*saṅkhārā*] are those which associate with *kusala cittas*. Unwholesome are those which associate with *akusala cittas*. *Abyākata* are those, which associate with *abyākata cittas*.

Of them the *sankhāras*, firstly, which associate with the first *kusala citta* of *kāma*-plane, are 36:

enumerated as always associating 27,

[without enumeration] the 'whatever-the-others'⁵⁹ 4,

enumerated as sometimes associating 5.

⁵⁸ Sankhāra = sam + kāra. Sam = together; karoti = doing, acting, working. Here, sankhāra are all *cetasikas* apart from *vedanā* and saññā (which belong to the other *khandhas*). Lead by *cetanā*, they accumulate and work together to form and bring about their effect. Therefore, they are called "mental formations" or "mental activities".

⁵⁹ This is according to the source classification in *Dhammasanganī*. The four without enumeration are mentioned as "whatever-the-others". *Ye vā pana* = the others, not by name, whatever, so on, etc., are supplementary.

Of them,

Of the	111 ,	
1.	contact	phassa,
2.	motivation	cetanā,
3.	initial application	vitakka,
4.	sustained application	vicāra,
5.	happiness	pīti,
6.	energy	vīriya,
7.	life	jīvita,
8.	concentration	samādhi,
9.	faith	saddhā,
10.	mindfulness	sati,
11.	shame	hiri,
12.	dread of blame	ottappa,
13.	anti-craving	alobha,
14.	anti-hatred	adosa,
15.	anti-delusion	amoha,
16.	tranquillity of the body	kāyapassaddhi,
17.	tranquillity of the consciousness	cittapassaddhi,
18.	lightness of the body	kāyalahutā,
19.	lightness of consciousness	cittalahūta,
20.	softness of body	kāyamudutā,
21.	softness of consciousness	cittamudutā,
22.	fitness of the body	kāyakammanññatā,
23.	fitness of consciousness	cittakammaññatā,
24.	proficiency of the body	kāyapāguññatā,
25.	proficiency of consciousness	cittapāguññatā,
26.	straightness of the body	kāyujukatā,
27.	straightness of consciousness	cittujukatā
- are the 2	7 [sankhāras] appearing in their true	nature.

28.	Wish	chanda,
29.	decision	adhimokkha,
30.	attention	manasikāra,
31.	equanimity	tatramajjhattatā
- are the fo	our 'whatever-the-others'.	

32.	Compassion	karuņā,
33.	sympathetic joy	muditā,

- 34. abstinence from bodily misconduct kāyaduccaritavirati,
- 35. abstinence from verbal misconduct

onduct *vacīduccaritavirati*,

36. abstinence from wrong livelihood *micchājīvavirati*

- are the five associating sometimes. It is true, they sometimes arise, but not together.

459. Of these, it touches, therefore it is called **contact** (*phassa*). Its characteristic is touching. Its function is impact. It manifests as meeting of conditions. Its proximate cause is the object coming into being.

To be explained, this *phassa*, although it is a mental state, arises only in the manner of touching the object. Although there is no impingement on one side of the object, like a visible object on the eye and sound on the ear⁶⁰, it causes to touch *citta* and object. It has the manifestation as the meeting of condition, because it can be pointed out through its own condition of the meeting of the three⁶¹. It is said, it has the proximate cause as the object coming into being, because it arises without disturbance on the conditioned object by proper attention and controlling faculties. It is the source of feeling, therefore it should be regarded as a cow whose hide has been removed⁶².

460. It motivates, therefore it is called **motivation** (*cetanā*). It encourages the other states to continue. Its characteristic is a state of motivation. Its function is encouragement. Its manifestation is organizing, like the chief-disciple, the head-carpenter, etc., who fulfil their own and others' duties. In the case of recollecting an urgent work, etc., the nature of encouragement of the associated states is clear.

 $^{^{60}}$ Visible object and sound cannot physically touch their base (eye or ear respectively). But smell, taste and tangible object touch their base directly.

⁶¹ Base, object and consciousness. For example: eye, visible object and eye-consciousness.

⁶² Just as a cow without hide suffers pain when coming into contact with anything anywhere on its body, so *phassa* gives rise to *vedanā*. [Saṃyutta Nikāya 2, 63: Puttamansūpama Sutta]

Regarding to **initial application** (*vitakka*), **sustained application** (*vicāra*) and **happiness** (*pīti*), all the information which should be given here, have been said on the explanation of the first *jhāna* in the chapter of the earth-kasina (*paṭhavīkasinaniddesa*).

461. **Energy** (*vīriya*) is the state of capability to do. It has the characteristic of attempting. Its function is the supporting of the states born together. Its manifestation is a state of not mentally sinking. Its proximate cause is wise mental fearfulness $(sam vega)^{63}$, as it is said:

"Wisely fearing he strives urgently." [Anguttara Nikāya 4, 113]

Or its proximate cause are the conditions of making effort. It should be noted that right effort is the root of all success.

- 462. **Life** (*jīvita*) is this, by which the associated states live. It itself lives or it is just mere living. Its characteristic, etc. should be understood as said about life of matter. It is true, that is the life of matter this is the life of mental states. This alone is different between them.
- 463. **Concentration** (*samādhi*) is that, which places the mind evenly or well on the object. Or it is only well-placing of mind. It has the characteristic of non-wandering or the characteristic of non-scattering. Its function is gathering the states born together like water solidifies soup-powder. It manifests as mental calmness. Its proximate cause is specially happiness (*sukha*). It should be regarded as steadfastness of mind, like the steadiness of a lamp's flame at a place with no wind.
- 464. **Faith** (*saddhā*) is that by which associated states believe, or it itself believes, or the mere believing. It has the characteristic of believing or the characteristic of trusting. Its function is purifying like a gem purifying water, or its function is plunging into the object like crossing of the floods. It has the manifestation as a state of non-impurity or the manifestation of resolution. Its proximate cause are

⁶³ Samvega means ottappa with knowledge, a wholesome kind of fearfulness causing urgency and therefore energy.

the things to be believed, or its proximate cause is the condition of stream-entering, beginning with hearing the *Dhamma* of a noble person. It should be regarded as comparing with a hand, wealth and a seed⁶⁴.

- 465. **Mindfulness (sati)** is so-called, because through it [the associated states] remembers, or it remembers itself, or it is mere remembering. It has the characteristic of not floating away. Its function is none-forgetfulness. It manifests as a guardian of mind or the nature of facing the object. Its proximate cause is firmly noting or well-established mindfulness (*sati*) on the body, etc. Then, it should be regarded as a door-post, because of being firmly established on the object, and as a door-keeper, because of guarding the eye-door, etc.
- 466. Mental shame (*hiri*) is so-called because it scruples about bodily misconduct, etc. This is a term for modesty. Dread of blame (*ottappa*) is so-called because it dreads only that [bodily misconduct]. This is a term for mental fearfulness of evil. Of them, *hiri* has the characteristic of disgust of evil. *Ottappa* has the characteristic of dread of it. *Hiri* has the function of not doing evil in the mode of modesty, *Ottappa* of not doing evil in the mode of dread. Those manifest as shrinking back from evil in the way already said. Their proximate cause is self-respect and respect for others. One abandons evil through *hiri* paying respect to oneself like a daughter-in-law of a good family. One abandons evil through *ottappa* out of respect for others like a courtesan. Especially these two *dhammas* are regarded as guardian of the human world⁶⁵.
- 467. **Anti-craving** (*alobha*) is so-called because through it associated states are not greedy, or it itself is not greedy, or it is mere not being greedy. Only this way should be applied to anti-hatred (*adosa*) and anti-delusion (*amoha*) too. Of them, *alobha* has the characteristic of non-greediness of mind for an object. Or it has the characteristic of a

⁶⁴ Simile from the *Suttas*, e.g. Sutta-nipata 182 and 184. The hand can grasp the wholesome things; the wealth is a source for happiness; the seed will bring about sweet fruits.

 $^{^{65}}$ See Lokapāla-Sutta, Anguttara Nikāya II, 9. These two states differentiate the human world and the animal-kingdom.

state of non-stickiness like a water-drop on a lotus-petal. Its function is non-possessing like a liberated monk. It manifests as detachment like a man falling into a foul place.

- 468. **Anti-hatred** (*adosa*) has the characteristic of gentleness or of non-opposing like an agreeable friend. Its function is removing of strong hatred or removing mental heat like sandalwood⁶⁶. It manifests as a state of feeling mentally cool like the full-moon.
- 469. **Anti-delusion** (*amoha*) has the characteristic of the penetration of intrinsic nature or of never-missing penetration like an arrow shot by a skilful archer. Its function is the illumination of the object like the light of a lamp. It manifests as non-delusion like a good guide in the forest.

The three should be regarded as the roots of all kusala states.

470. *Kāyapassaddhi* is the tranquillity of the body. *Cittapassaddhi* is the tranquillity of the mind. And here, $k\bar{a}ya$ means the three aggregates beginning with feeling⁶⁷. Then, these two states taken together as $k\bar{a}yacittapassaddhi$ have the characteristic of cooling down physical and mental heat. Their function is crushing bodily and mental disturbance. They manifest as unwavering and a cool state of mind and body. Their proximate cause is body and mind. They should be regarded as the opponents of mental defilements, such as restlessness (*uddhacca*), which cause unpeacefulness of mind and body.

⁶⁶ In Ayurvedic medicine, an ointment of sandalwood and water is applied on the whole body in order to reduce the body-heat.

⁶⁷ This explanation given by Ven. Buddhaghosa is according to *Dhammasanganī*. That would mean, under "*kāya*" we find *vedanākkhandha*, *saññākkhandha* and *saṅkhārakkhandha*, which are all *cetasikas*, so being one mental unit with the consciousness of *citta-passaddhi*. The translator finds it more reasonable to differentiate tranquillity according its origin as physical and mental, like *vedanā*, which e.g. is differentiated into body-originated pleasant feeling (*sukha*) and mind-originated pleasant feeling (*somanassa*); or the differentiation of *thīna* and *middha* as mind- respectively body-originated tiredness.

Kāyalahutā is lightness of the body. *Cittalahutā* is lightness of the consciousness. Both have the characteristic of extinction of bodyand mental heaviness. Their function is subjugating of body- and mental heaviness. They manifest as non-sluggishness of body and mind. Their proximate cause is body and mind. They should be regarded as the opponents of the mental defilements sloth ($th\bar{n}na$) and torpor (*middha*), etc., that make the body and mind heavy.

Kāyamudutā is softness of body. *Cittamudutā* is softness of consciousness. They have the characteristic of extinction of physical and mental hardness. Their function is subjugating of physical and mental hardness. They manifest as a state of non-impingement. Their proximate cause is body and mind. They should be regarded as the opponents of the mental defilements wrong view (*ditthi*) and conceit (*māna*), etc., that make body and mind hard.

Kāyakammaññatā is fitness of body. *Cittakammaññatā* is fitness of consciousness. They have the characteristic of extinction of non-fitness of body and mind. Their function is subjugating non-fitness of body and mind. They manifest as successfully working with the object of body and mind. Their proximate cause is body and mind. They are opponents of the remaining hindrances⁶⁸, that make body and mind unfit. They bring faith in objects of faith. It should be noted, it brings out the ability for beneficial acts, like refined gold.

Kāyapaguññatā is proficiency of body. *Cittapaguññatā* is proficiency of consciousness. They have the characteristic of healthiness of body and mind. Their function is subjugating illness of body and mind. They manifest as absence of fault. Their proximate cause is body and mind. They should be regarded as the opponents of faithlessness, etc., that make body and mind ill.

 $K\bar{a}yujukat\bar{a}$ is straightness⁶⁹ of body. *Cittujukatā* is straightness of consciousness. They have the characteristic of straightness of body

⁶⁸ These are: wish for sensual pleasure ($k\bar{a}ma$ -chanda), ill-will ($by\bar{a}p\bar{a}da$) and doubt ($vicikicch\bar{a}$).

and mind. Their function is subjugating the physical and mental bent. They manifest as non-crookedness. Their proximate cause is body and mind. They should be regarded as the opponents of deceit and fraud, etc., that make body and mind crooked.

- 471. The term *chanda* is used for the wish to do. Therefore that *chanda* has the characteristic of wishing to do. Its function is the quest for an object. It manifests as need for an object. Its proximate cause is that [need of the object]. It should be regarded as extending of mind towards an object like a hand extends to grasp an object.
- 472. *Adhimokkha* is the act of resolving. Its characteristic is determination. Its function is non-wavering. It manifests as deciding. Its proximate cause is a *dhamma* to be decided about. It should be regarded as a stone-pillar due to being unshakeable to the object.
- 473. *Kāra* is doing. *Manasikāra* is doing in the mind. *Manasikāra* is so-called, because it makes a mind which is different to the preceding mind. That is threefold: It makes the mind to take the object, it makes the thought-process (*citta-vīthi*) arise, it causes *javana* to arise. Of them *ārammaņapaṭipāda* is called *manasikāra*, because it makes the mind to take the object. That [*manasikāra*] has the characteristic of conducting the mind to the object. Its function is to join associated *dhammas* and the object. It manifests as facing to the object. Its proximate cause is the object. It is included in *saṅkhārakkhandha*. It should be regarded as the conductor, because it conducts the associated states to the object. Then, *vīthipaṭipādaka* is a term for *pañcadvārāvajjana*. *Javanapaṭipādaka* is a term for *manodvārā-vajjana*. They are not necessary here.
- 474. *Tatramajjhattatā* is neutrality of those associated states. Its characteristic is equally carrying *citta* and *cetasika*. Its function is to prevent deficiency and excess [of the associated states] or to cut off partiality. It manifests as neutrality. It should be regarded as a charioteer who does not need to care for his well-trained horses

⁶⁹ *Ujuka* means straight, honest, upright. One is bodily 'straight', if one does not mislead others by physical actions or bodily intimation.

walking equally, because it does not take care for the [associated] *citta* and *cetasikas*.

Then, *karuṇā* and *muditā* should be understood as described in the description of the Devine Abodes (*Brahmavihāra-niddesa*)⁷⁰. Actually they are *rūpāvacara*, which attained *appanā*-position⁷¹, but here they are *kāmāvacara*. This is the only difference. However, some teachers want to include *mettā* and *upekkhā* also in the sometimes associated states. That should not be accepted, because *mettā* in ultimate sense is only *adosa*, *upekkhā* is only *tatramajjhattatā*.

- 475. *Kāyaduccaritavirati* is abstinence from bodily misconduct. The remaining two [verbal and mental misconduct] are in the same way. Then, according to characteristic, these three have the characteristic of non-transgression of the things beginning with bodily misconduct. It means that they have the characteristic of not violating the rules. Their function is shrinking back from the things beginning with bodily misconduct. They manifest as non-action. Their proximate causes are the qualities faith, mental shame, dreadfulness and desirelessness⁷². They should be regarded as mental turning back from evil actions.
- 476. So there are 36 mental formations that should be understood to come into association with the first $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara kusala citta$. And as with the first, so with the second. The only difference is the promptitude. Then for the third, the remaining should be known apart from *amoha*.⁷³ Likewise with the fourth the only difference is the promptitude. Setting aside $p\bar{t}t$, the remaining associate with the fifth *citta*. As with the fifth, so with the sixth. The only difference is the promptitude. Then for the seventh, the remaining should be known apart from *amoha*. Likewise with the eighth, but the only difference here is being with promptitude.

⁷⁰ Chapter IX of *Visuddhimagga*.

⁷¹ *Jhāna*-state, that means *citta* has absorbed the object, or is fixed on the object.

⁷² Appiccha: little desire, non-desire. Mahiccha: great desire, too much desire.

⁷³ That means $n\bar{a}na$ -vipayutta which has 35 associated mental formations.

Among those [mental formations] mentioned in the first *mahākusala citta*, setting aside the three *viratis*, the remaining associate with the first $r\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara\ kusala\ citta$. With the second, *vitakka* should be excluded from the first. With the third, *vicāra* should be excluded from the second. With the fourth, *pīti* should be excludes from the third. With the fifth, *karuņā* and *muditā*, which belong to the sometimes associated states (*aniyata*), are excluded from the fourth.

Only those [mental formations] are known in the four *arūpa kusala* [*cittas*].

Regarding the *lokuttara*, in the first *magga-citta* with the first *jhāna*, the *cetasikas* should be understood in the way mentioned in the first $r\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara\ citta$. In the *citta* with the second *jhāna* they should be understood as in the second $r\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara\ citta$, etc. But the difference here is the absence of *karunā* and *muditā* and the permanent association of the *viratis* being *lokuttara*.

In this way at first only the kusala sankhāras should be understood.

477. With regard to *akusala*, firstly, the ever-associated states in the first *akusala lobhamūla citta* are 17, 13 are enumerated and 4 are included in the word 'whatever-the-others'.

Herein the associated *dhammas* which are enumerated are 13:

1.	contact	phassa,
2.	motivation	cetanā,
3.	initial application	vitakka,
4.	sustained application	vicāra,
5.	happiness	pīti,
6.	energy	vīriya,
7.	life	jīvita,
8.	concentration	samādhi,
9.	shamelessness	ahirika,
10.	fearlessness	anottappa,
11.	craving	lobha,

12.	delusion	moha,
13.	wrong view	miccha dițțhi.

The associated *dhammas* included in 'whatever-the-others' are four:

1.	wish-to-do	chanda,
2.	resolution	adhimokkha,
3.	restlessness	uddhacca,
4.	attention	manasikāra.

- 478. Herein, one who is not shameful, is a shameless person (*ahirika*). The nature of a shameless person is termed **shamelessness** (*ahirikam*). It is not fear, so it is called **fearlessness** (*anottappa*). Of them *ahirika* has the characteristic of absence of disgust at physical misconduct, etc., or it has the characteristic of shamelessness. *Anottappa* has the characteristic of fearlessness⁷⁴ at those misconducts or it has the characteristic of the absence of dreadfulness⁷⁵. This is in brief here. However, the detail should be known according to the opposite nature of what has been said dealing with *hiri* and *ottappa*.
- 479. It is *lobha* through which [the associated *dhammas*] crave [for the object], or [*lobha*] itself craves, or [*lobha*] is only mere craving.⁷⁶ It is *moha* through which [the associated *dhammas*] delude, or itself deludes, or it is only mere delusion. Of them *lobha* has the characteristic of grasping an object. It is compared with monkey-lime⁷⁷. It has the function of firmly sticking, like a peace of meat when it is put

⁷⁴ $s\bar{a}rajja$ = fearfulness. Having fear, one dares not to perform misconduct. $as\bar{a}rajja$ = fearlessness. Having no fear, one dares to perform misconduct.

 $^{^{75}}$ *uttāsa* = dreadfulness; one fears at seeing something fearful.

 $anutt\bar{a}sa$ = absence of dreadfulness, absence of frightening. Dread is stronger than fear, one suddenly is frightened and fearful.

⁷⁶ mattameva = matta + eva. "Matta" means "mere" and rejects the previous definitions (because there is no instrument, agent or doer behind it). These first two definitions should be understood only metaphorically, not in ultimate sense. "Eva" means "only" or "just", it confirms only the last definition in ultimate sense.

⁷⁷ *makkatālepa*, monkey-lime, is a sticky substance applied on the branches of trees in order to catch monkeys. See Makkatālepa-Sutta of Saṃyutta Nikāya, Satipatthāna Saṃyutta, Ambapali vagga (S 47.7).

into a hot pan. It manifests as non-abandonment, like a painting of lamp-black⁷⁸. Its proximate cause is seeing enjoyment towards the object of fetters. It should be noted, if it is grown up as a craving-river, it is going to take beings to hell $(ap\bar{a}ya)$. It is compared with a river which has a swift current going to the ocean.

- 480. *Moha* has the characteristic of mental blindness, or it has the characteristic of being the opposite of knowledge. It has the function of non-penetration or it has the function of concealing the nature of an object. It manifests as the absence of well practice, or it manifests as making [a person] blind. Its proximate cause is unwise attention (*ayoniso manasikāra*). It should be noted, that it is the source of all *akusalas*.
- 481. *Miccha dițțhi* is through which the associated *dhammas* wrongly view, or itself wrongly views, or it is mere viewing wrongly. It has the characteristic of improper consideration (*ayoniso abhinivesa*)⁷⁹. It has the function of touching the object wrongly (*parāmāsa*). It manifests as wrong consideration. Its proximate cause is unwillingness to see Noble Persons, etc. It should be noted as supreme fault.
- 482. *Uddhacca* is a state of wavering. It has the characteristic of nonquietness. It looks like shaking water blown by the wind. Its function is unstability. It looks like a shaking flag or banner blown by the wind. It manifests as [mentally] wandering. It is like rising ash hit by a stone. Its proximate cause is improper attention to mental unquietness. It should be noted as mental wandering about the object.

The remaining states should be known only according to the way which was said in *kusala*. For these *dhammas* have the nature of

⁷⁸ *telañjana*: painting of lamp-black. In India, ladies used to beautify their eyes by putting brown or black eye-liner. Using the black, oily substance of the burned-out lamp, the make-up maintains quite long and cannot be removed easily.

⁷⁹ *yoniso* = proper, correct, suitable, wise. *ayoniso* = improper, not correct, unwise. *abhinivesa* = consideration, interpretation, thinking.

Example: One practises *Vipassanā*-meditation, but one contemplates only the object as impermanent, and considers the observing mind as permanent. That is *"ayoniso abhinivesa"*.

akusala and they are inferior due to being *akusala*, that is different from those [*kusalas*].

483. It should be noted, in this way these 17 mental formations associate with the first *akusala* consciousness. And as with the first, so with the second, but the second is *sasankhāra* (with promptitude) and different with the impermanent association of **sloth** (*thīna*) and **torpor** (*middha*). *Thīna* is a state of lack of attempt. *Middha* is a state of subjugating the mind. It means the state of lack of attempt and subjugating or inability and [mental] tiredness. *Thīna* and *middha* are to be compound as one word: *thīnamiddha*. Herein, *thīna* has the characteristic of lack of attempt. Its function is removing of *vīriya* (effort). It manifests as sinking. *Middha* has the characteristic of non-workability. Its function is subjugating. It manifests as drowsy nodding and sleep. Both have the proximate cause of *ayoniso manasikāra* dealing with disinterest in *kusala* and stretching [the body].

With the third [*akusala citta*] the remaining [mental formations] apart from *miccha dițțhi*, that were said in the first [*akusala citta*], should be known. But of them, *māna* associates impermanently. That [point only] is different. It [*māna*] has the characteristic of haughtiness⁸⁰. Its function is raising up. It manifests as desire for being as a pinnacle⁸¹. Its proximate cause is *lobha* dissociated from *dițțhi*. It should be regarded as madness.

With the fourth [*akusala citta*] the remaining [mental formations] apart from *miccha dițțhi*, that were said in the second [*akusala citta*], should be known. Here also *māna* is among the impermanent associated states. Then, the remaining [mental formations] apart from *pīti*, which were said in the first [*akusala citta*], associate with the fifth [*akusala citta*]. And as with the fifth, so with the sixth also. Actually the state of being *sasankhāra* and the impermanent association of *thīna-middha* are different. The remaining [mental

 $^{^{80}}$ *nati* = inclination. *onata* = humble, bent down, not raised, not haughty, inclined down. *unnata* = haughty, high with pride, arrogant, raised, lifted up, inclined up

⁸¹ *ketu* = post at the top of a palace, pinnacle, the peak, the summit, the highest. $kamyat\bar{a} = \text{desire}$

formations] apart from *dițțhi*, which were said in the fifth [*akusala citta*], should be known with the seventh [*akusala citta*]. And here among them, *māna* is an impermanent associated state. The remaining [mental formations] apart from *dițțhi*, which were said in the sixth [*akusala citta*], should be known with the eighth [*akusala citta*]. Here also, *māna* is among the impermanent associated states.

484. Then, regarding the two *dosamūla cittas*, firstly there are 18 [mental formations] permanently associated with the first [*citta*]. 11 are enumerated, 4 are included in the 'whatever-the-others', 3 are impermanent.

Herein, these 11 associated [cetasikas], which are enumerated, are

1.	contact	phassa,
2.	motivation	cetanā,
3.	initial application	vitakka,
4.	sustained application	vicāra,
5.	energy	vīriya,
6.	life	jīvita,
7.	concentration	samādhi,
8.	shamelessness	ahirika,
9.	fearlessness	anottappa,
10.	hatred	dosa,
11.	delusion	moha.

These 4 [*cetasikas*], which are included in the word 'whatever-the-others', are

1.	wish-to-do	chanda,
2.	resolution	adhimokkha,
3.	restlessness	uddhacca,
4.	attention	manasikāra.

These 3 [cetasikas], which are impermanent, are

1.	envy	issā,
2.	stinginess	macchariya,
3.	worry	kukkucca.

485. **Dosa (hatred)** is so-called because through it the associated *dhammas* hate, or itself hates, or it is only mere hating. That [*dosa*]

has the characteristic of cruelty like a poisonous snake, which is hit. Its function is spreading [the whole body], like the poison which spreads the whole body. Or it has the function of burning its own base⁸² like a forest-fire [which burns down the forest]. It manifests as offending, like an enemy who has a chance. Its proximate cause is the condition of hatred⁸³. [*Dosa*] should be regarded as poisonous cow's urine⁸⁴.

- 486. **Issā** (envy) is feeling discontent [caused by somebody else's good fortune or success]. That [issā] has the characteristic of envying other's fortune or success. It has the function to be dissatisfied with only other's fortune or success. It manifests as the nature of turning one's face to the other side⁸⁵. Its proximate cause is the other's fortune and success. *Issā* should be regarded as a fetter [which binds one mind].
- 487. *Macchariya* (stinginess) is a state of unwillingness to share [one's own property, fortune and success with others]. It has the characteristic of hiding [keeping it for only oneself] one's own fortune and success, which has been already attained or will be attained.⁸⁶ Its function is not to bear those own fortune and success to be common with others. It manifests as shrinking [one's own fortune and success from sharing with others] or as the nature of a person who's mind shrinks back with the hatred against others or it is the state of keeping back more for oneself⁸⁷. Its proximate cause is one's

⁸² It burns it's own base, on which it relies: *dosa* burns down *dosa*.

⁸³ In Aggata-Sutta of Anguttara-Nikāya there are mentioned 9 bases of agitation: Combination of 3 persons (oneself, friend, enemy) with thoughts according to 3 times (present, past, future), e.g. one thinks: "He makes me presently unhappy. He made me unhappy in past. He will make me unhappy in future."

⁸⁴ Poison mixed with cow's urine. $p\bar{u}ti$ = rotten, bad smell. *mutta* = urine. Meaning: An angry person looks ugly, has a bad smell. To associate with him is dangerous.

⁸⁵ Because one dislikes the other's fortune or success, one does not want to see it or face it. Therefore one turns one's face to the other side.

⁸⁶ Against *macchariya* should be practised *saddhā* (interest in good things), *alobha* (generousity), *mettā* and *karunā*.

own fortune and success. *Macchariya* should be regarded as mental distortion.

488. *Kucchita* is a work that is to be disgusted. The state of such a work is *kukkucca* (remorse or worry). Its characteristic is regret that occurs later. Its function is worry about misconduct what has been done and about good conduct what has not been done. It manifests as remorse⁸⁸. Its proximate cause is misconduct what has been done and good conduct what has been undone. *Kukkucca* is regarded as the life of slavery⁸⁹.

The remaining [mental formations] have the same information as said. Thus it should be known, these 18 mental formations associate with the first *dosamūla citta*. As with the first, so with the second. But it is different in being *sasankhāra* and in the association of *thīna-middha* among the impermanent associated mental formations.

489. Of the two *mohamūla cittas*, firstly with the *vicikicchā-sampayutta citta* there are 13 mental formations, 11 are enumerated:

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1.	contact	phassa,
2.	motivation	cetanā,
3.	initial application	vitakka,
4.	sustained application	vicāra,
5.	energy	vīriya,
6.	life	jīvita,
7.	concentration	cittațțhitī,
8.	shamelessness	ahirika,
9.	fearlessness	anottappa,
10.	delusion	moha,
11.	doubt	vicikicchā.

⁸⁷ *kaţukañcukatā* = nature of a person who shrinks back with hatred, when seeing beggers (because of unwillingness to share) or even when sharing his property, he gives only a little and tries to keep back much. (Atthasālinī)

⁸⁸ *vippațisāra* = (literally) recalling it with unhappiness; distorted recalling

⁸⁹ $d\bar{a}sa$ = slave, dasabya = slavery. See Samaññaphala-Sutta (where the 5 $n\bar{v}aranas$ are explained with similes) or Atthasālinī. Slavery means one is not free but always afraid of the owner.

The two belonging to the 'whatever-the-others' are:

- 1. restlessnessuddhacca,2. attentionmanasikāra.
- 490. Therein, *cittatthitī* ⁹⁰ (existence of consciousness) is a weak *samādhi* which has mere arising and existing. Doubt (*vicikicchā*) is so-called, because it is absent from the treatment by knowledge [and therefore doubtful]. It has the characteristic of doubt. It has the function of a craving [mind]. It manifests as a lack of decision or as uncertainty. Its proximate cause is unwise attention (*ayoniso manasikāra*) dealing with the doubt. *Vicikicchā* should be regarded as a phenomenon that makes the obstacle of practice. The remaining have the same information as before.

Among those mental formations said in *vicikicchā-sampayutta*, the remaining 12 mental states apart from *vicikicchā* associate with the *uddhacca-sampayutta citta*. But in this [*uddhacca-sampayutta citta*], in absence of *vicikicchā* there arises resolution (*adhimokkha*). Together with *adhimokkha* there are only 13 associated states and at existence of *adhimokkha*, *samādhi* has more power. Among them, there is restlessness (*uddhacca*). That *uddhacca* is directly enumerated [by name]. *Adhimokkha* and *manasikāra* are included in the word 'whatever-the-others'. In this way the mental formations associating with *akusala* should be known.

491. Regarding to *abyākata dhammas*, at first the **resultant** (*vipāka-*) *abyākatas* are of two kinds, without associated root (*ahetuka*) and with associated root (*sahetuka*). Those mental formations associated with *ahetuka vipāka cittas* are *ahetuka*. They are called mental formations without *hetu*.

Herein, at first the mental formations which associate with *kusala* or *akusala vipāka* eye-consciousness (*cakkhu-viññāņa*) are five. Enumerated are four: *phassa*, *cetanā*, *jīvita* and *cittaṭțhitī*; included in 'whatever-the-others' is *manasikāra*. So only are those associated with ear- (*sota*-), nose- (*ghāna*-), tongue- (*jivhā*-) and

⁹⁰ *cittatthitī* = existence or standing of the consciousness [on the object]. Refers to ekaggata = one-pointedness or concentration.

body-consciousness ($k\bar{a}ya$ - $vinn\bar{n}\bar{a}na$). The mental formations which associate with the mind-element (manodhātu) in both [kusala- and akusala- $vip\bar{a}ka$] are eight, those five and vitakka, $vic\bar{a}ra$ and adhi-mokkha. The same [mental formations] are with the three ahetuka manovinnandhātus⁹¹. But it should be noted, to them [the manovinnandhātus] associated with pleasant feeling (somanassa), happiness ($p\bar{t}ti$) is to be added.

Then, [mental formations] which associate with *sahetuka-vipāka citta* are with associated root. Among them, at first those mental formations that associate with the eight $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara vip\bar{a}ka$ *cittas* are similar to those mental formations associated to the eight $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara kusala cittas$. Among the impermanent (*aniyata*) *ceta-sikas* there are *karuņā* and *muditā*, [but] they never arise in *vipāka-cittas*, because their objects are beings. It is true, the $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara-vip\bar{a}ka$ *cittas* have definitely $k\bar{a}ma$ -objects⁹². Then, not only *karuņā* and *muditā* do not arise in *vipāka-cittas*, but the abstinences (*viratis*) also [do not arise]. It is true, [the Buddha] said, the five precepts belong only to *kusala*. Then the mental formations that associate with *rūpāvacara vipāka*, *arūpāvacara vipāka* and *lokuttara vipāka cittas* are similar to those mental formations that associate with *kusala cittas* respectively.

492. *Kiriya-abyākata* are also twofold as without associated *hetu* and with associated *hetu*. Among them too, the mental formations associated with *ahetuka kiriya cittas* are *ahetuka*. Those [mental formations] are also similar to the mental formations associated with *kusala* resultant *manodhātu* and the two *ahetuka-viññāṇa-dhātus*. But regarding to the two *manoviññāṇadhātus* there is added *vīriya*. Due to association with *vīriya*, *samādhi* becomes powerful. This here is the difference.

Then, the mental formations associated with *sahetuka-kiriya cittas* are *sahetuka* [mental formations]. Among the *sahetuka* [mental

⁹¹ They are the three types of investigating consciousness (*santīraņa cittas*).

 $^{^{92}}$ ekanta parittārammaņa. ekanta = absolutely, definitely, certainly. parittaārammaņa = object of little power, limited object, kāma-object

formations] at first the mental formations associated with the eight $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara\ kiriya\ cittas$ are similar to the mental formations associated with $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara\ kusala\ cittas$ except *virati*. Then, mental formations associated with $r\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara-kiriya$ and $ar\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara-kiriya$ are similar in all aspects to the mental formations associated with their *kusala-cittas* respectively.

In this way the mental formations belonging to *abyākata* should be known.

These are some hints on the detailed explanation dealing with *saṅkhārakkhandha*. Fistly, this is only a hint of the detailed explanation according to the way of *padabhājani*⁹³ in the Abhidhamma treatise⁹⁴.

Atītādivibhāgakathā

Talk on the Classification of Past, etc.

493. Then, by the Great Teacher the *khandha*s are explained in detail thus:

"Whatever material qualities which are past, future or present, internal or external, gross or subtle, inferior or superior, far or near, collecting together and briefing together, those [material qualities] are called $r\bar{u}pakkhandha$ (matter-aggregate). Whatever vedanā (feelings)... whatever saññā (notings)... whatever saṅkhārā (mental formations)... whatever viññāṇa (consciousness), which are past, future or present... briefing together, those are called viññāṇakhandha (consciousnessaggregate)." [Vibhaṅga I.1. §2, 8, 14, 20, 26]

Herein, the word *yamkiñci* (whatever) covers everything without remainder. The word $r\bar{u}pa$ limits not to include unnecessary things. In this way by the two words [*yamkiñci* + $r\bar{u}pa$] it grasps the

 $^{^{93}} pada + bh\bar{a}jani =$ division of a phrase

⁹⁴ For knowing the details, *Vibhanga* has to be studied.

entire material qualities without remainder. Then, [the Great Teacher] tries to analyse matter as past, etc. For some of the material qualities belong to the past, some belong to the future, etc. This way [should be applied also] dealing with *vedanā*, etc.

Herein, firstly, *rūpa* belonging to the past is fourfold:

1.	period of time	addhā,
2.	continuity (of a process)	santati,
3.	occasion	samaya,
4.	moment	khaṇa

So are the future and present.

494

Of them, regarding to $addh\bar{a}$ (period of time) for a person in one life, the time before *patisandhi* (relinking consciousness) is past. The time after *cuti* (death) is future. Between the two is present.

Regarding to *santati* (continuity) [the material qualities] produced by an agreeable⁹⁵ single *utu* or produced by agreeable, single $\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$, or even [the same type of material quality] which occurs as preceding and following [matter] is to be called matter of present. The matter previous to that produced by *utu* and $\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ which is disagreeable, is material quality of past. The disagreeable material quality which is subsequent, is future. The *citta*-born material quality which is produced by a single $v\bar{v}thi$, a single *javana* or single attainment belongs to the present. The matter previous to that belongs to future. For *kamma*-born material quality there is no classification as past, etc. dealing with continuity. But [for that *kamma*-born matter] the state of past should be known dealing with the support of those material qualities produced by *utu*, $\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$ and *citta*.

Regarding to *samaya* (occasion)⁹⁶ a material quality occurring as a continuity in occasions such as a moment, a morning, evening,

 $^{^{95}}$ sabhāga = similar, same nature, agreeable, beneficial, healthy visabhāga = different, disagreeable, unbeneficial, unhealthy

⁹⁶ samaya is the time of a special occasion. It is a concept.

night, day, etc. is called present. The occasion before that belongs to past. The occasion after that belongs to future.

Regarding to *khana* (moment), a material quality including in three moments such as arising⁹⁷, etc. is present. The moment before, it is past. The moment after, it is future. In another way, that [matter] whose function of cause and effect is completed, belongs to past. That [matter], whose function of cause and effect is completed, but its function of condition not yet, belongs to present. That [matter] which does not yet perform the two functions belongs to the future. Or, at the moment of its own function, it belongs to the past. Especially herein only the talks on moment are in absolute sense. The rest are in relative sense.⁹⁸

495. The division into **internal and external** is in the way already said. In another way it should be known, that the internal [matter] belongs to oneself ⁹⁹, and that, which belongs to other persons, is external.

The division into gross and subtle is also as already said.

496. The division into **inferior and superior** is twofold, namely relative and absolute. Herein, the material quality of *sudassī*-deities is inferior to the material quality of *akanitthā*-deities.¹⁰⁰ The material quality of *sudassī*-deities is superior only to the material quality of *sudassā*-deities. In this way the superiority and inferiority should be

⁹⁷ The three (sub-)moments are arising, standing or existence, and ceasing.

 $^{^{98}}$ nippariyāya = directely [said], definitely, in ultimate sense, in absolute sense. sapariyāya = not directly [said], not definitely, possible, in relative sense. Nippariyāya corresponds to paramattha [ultimate reality], sapariyāya corresponds to paññatti (concepts, conventional reality).

⁹⁹ ni + yaka: own occurrence, niyaka = depending on oneself

¹⁰⁰ Concerning the 31 planes of existence, there are 11 $k\bar{a}ma$ -planes, 16 $r\bar{u}pa$ -planes and 4 $ar\bar{u}pa$ -planes. The plane of the $akanitth\bar{a}$ -deities, is the highest plane, where matter is possible. The second highest is the plane of the *sudassī*-deities, the third the plane of *sudassā*-deities. The five highest $r\bar{u}pa$ -planes or pure abodes are only possible for $An\bar{a}g\bar{a}mis$ (non-returners).

known as a concept down to the materiality of the beings born in hell. Concerning the absolute sense, the material quality arising as the result of *akusala* is inferior. The material quality arising as the result of *kusala* is superior¹⁰¹.

The division into **far and near**¹⁰² is also as already described. In another way according to the place the state of far and near should be known in comparison with each other.

- 497. Here, this is the meaning, that all material qualities which are explained separately through the word past, etc., are said to be $r\bar{n}pa$ *khandha* grouping by knowledge in a state of singlefold called the characteristic of changing. Through that word is described, that all material qualities are $r\bar{n}pakkhandha$ by grouping in the characteristic of changing. It is true, apart from matter there does not exist matter-aggregate.
- 498. Just as material qualities, the feelings, etc. are also described as vedanākkhandha by grouping in the characteristic of feeling, etc., because there does not exist feeling-aggregate apart from feeling. But here, regarding to the classification of past, etc. the state of past, future and present dealing with vedanā should be known according to continuity and moment, etc. Herein, according to continuity, that feeling included in one thought-process, one *javana*, one attainment, and the feeling which occurs associating the object of one thoughtprocess belongs to present. The feeling that arises before that, belongs to past. The feeling that arises after that, belongs to future. According to moment, etc. the feeling that is included in three moments exists in mid between the preceding and the following, and the feeling performing its own function belong to present. The feeling arising before that, belongs to past. The feeling which will arise after that, belongs to future.

¹⁰¹ The result of *kusala* is superior, because it produces a desirable object. [Vibhaṅga Atthakathā]

¹⁰² Far ($d\bar{u}re$) means, hard to be seen. Far matter corresponds with subtle matter. Near (*santike*) means, easy to be seen. Near matter corresponds with gross matter. Or their definition can be according to their place (location).

The division of **internal and external** should be known dealing with one's own internal.

499. The division of **gross and subtle** should be known dealing with species (*jāti*), own nature (*sabhāva*), person (*puggala*), mundane (*lokiya*) and supramundane (*lokuttara*), which is said in Vibhaṅga.

Firstly according to jāti (species), the feeling associated with akusala is gross, compared to the feeling associated with kusala, because it is the condition of an action with fault, a state of burning by kilesa and the occurrence of an unpeaceful state. The feeling associated with akusala is gross compared to vipāka-abvākata, because it has action, effect, result, is burnt by kilesas, is with fault. The feeling associated with akusala is gross compared to kiriyaabyākata, because it has a result, is burnt by kilesas, is with suffering, is with fault. Then, feeling associated with kusala-abyākata is subtle compared to feeling associated with akusala in the opposite way as said before. The two feelings associated with kusala and akusala are gross compared to the two *abyākata*-feelings¹⁰³, as possible because they have activity, effect and bear result. And opposite, the two abyākata-feelings are subtle compared to those kusala- and akusalafeelings. In this way according to *jāti* at first the state of gross and subtle should be understood

500. Then, regarding to *sabhāva* (own nature), the pain-feeling (*dukkha*) is gross compared to the other two [*sukha* and *adukkhamasukha*], because it has no enjoyment, is with activity, causes disturbing¹⁰⁴, is the cause of frightening, is subjugating. Then, the other two are subtle compared to pain, possibly because they are enjoyable, calm, superior, loveable, neutral. Then, both the happy and the painful feeling are gross compared to the neither-painful-nor-happy feeling, because they have activity, disturbance and are a clear experience. That [neither-painful-nor-happy feeling] is subtle

¹⁰³ The two *abyākata*-feelings are feelings associated with *kiriya* and *vipāka*.

¹⁰⁴ Like when a stone is thrown into water: The stone causes trembling of the water, disturbance, disorder, perturbation, unquietness. Here, painful feeling ($dukkha-vedan\bar{a}$) causes mental disturbance and uneasiness.

compared with the other two, because of the opposite¹⁰⁵ reason which was said. In this way the nature of gross and subtle should be known regarding to own nature.

501. Then, regarding to *puggala* (person), the feeling of someone who does not attain *jhāna* or *phala* is gross compared to the feeling of someone who attained *jhāna* and *phala*, because it causes to wander about various object. The other feeling in opposite sense is subtle. In this way the state of gross and subtle regarding to person should be known.

Then, regarding lokiva (mundane) and lokuttara (supramundane), the feeling which is the object of *āsava* (canker) is mundane. That [mundane] feeling is gross compared to the feeling which is not the object of *āsava*, because it is the condition of arising of *āsava*, is the object of the floods (*ogha*), of the bonds (*voga*), of the ties (gantha), of the hindrances (*nīvarana*), the object of clinging (upādāna), associated with defilements and common to wordlings. That [feeling which is not the object of $\bar{a}sava$] is subtle compared to the feeling which is the object of *āsava*, because of the opposite reasons. In this way the state of gross and subtle should be known regarding to mundane and supramundane.

502. Herein, the mixing of *jāti*, etc. should be avoided. The feeling associated with body-consciousness being the result of *akusala*, although it is subtle dealing with *jāti*, because being *abyākata*, is gross according to own nature, etc. It is true [this is said by the Great Teacher]:

"The feeling belonging to *abyākata* is subtle. The feeling belonging to *dukkha* is gross. The feeling of one who attained *jhāna* or *phala* is subtle. The feeling of one who does not attain *jhāna* or *phala* is gross. The feeling of one who attained *jhāna* or *phala* is subtle. The feeling which is the object of *āsava* is gross. The feeling which is not the object of *āsava* is subtle." [Vibhaṅga]

¹⁰⁵ The opposite states are: No activity, calm, not easy or clear to be experienced.

As painful feeling, so the happy feeling, etc. are gross according to $j\bar{a}ti$, according to nature etc. subtle. In this way there is no inferiority of species, etc. Following that way the feeling should be known to be gross and subtle. How to understand? Is it painful (*dukkha*)? Is it happy (*sukha*)? Is it the feeling of someone who attained *jhāna* and *phala*? Is it the feeling of someone who does not attain *jhāna* and *phala*? Is it the feeling being the object of *āsava*? Is it the feeling being not the object of *āsava*? In this way the classification of *sabhāva*, etc. should not be touched. This way should be known everywhere.

Furthermore, owing to the statement: "Referring to this and that *vedanā*, the feeling should be noted to be gross or subtle" [Vibhaṅga 4], regarding to *akusala*, etc. the feeling associated with *dosa* is gross compared to the feeling associated with *lobha*, because it burns up its own base like fire. The feeling associated with *lobha* is subtle. The feeling even associated with *dosa*, if permanent¹⁰⁶, is gross, if not permanent, is subtle. Even if it is permanent, if lasting for aeons, it is gross, the other subtle. Among the feelings of which the effect lasts for aeons, if without promptitude, it is gross, the other is subtle.

Then, the feeling accompanied by *lobha*, if associated with *ditthi*, is gross, the other subtle. Among the feeling of which the effect lasts for aeons, if without promptitude, is gross, the other subtle. That also, if permanent, if lasting aeons, if without promptitude, is gross, the other subtle. Generally speaking, the feeling associated with *akusala* if [bearing] many results is gross, if a few results is subtle. But the feeling associated with *kusala* if [bearing] a few results is gross, if many results is subtle.

¹⁰⁶ Here, 'permanent' (*niyata*) means definite, sure, certain, assured, the effect is firmly decided and cannot be changed or avoided. The five *garuka kamma* (killing of father or mother, killing of an Arahant, wounding the Buddha, creating a schism of the *Samgha*) and fixed wrong view (*miccha dițțhi*) are such sincere evil actions, that their evil result is definitely, like Dhammasanghanī defines: "Fixed as consequence". The result of impermanent (*aniyata*) evil is not fixed as consequence, is not sure, can be changed or avoided.

In another way, feeling associated with $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara\ kusala$ is gross. $R\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara$ is subtle. Feeling with $ar\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara$ is subtler than the feeling associated with $r\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara$. Feeling associated with *lokuttara* is subtler than the feeling associated with $ar\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara$. Regarding to feeling associated with $k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara\ citta$, if dealing with giving ($d\bar{a}na$) it is gross, if dealing with morality ($s\bar{s}la$) is subtle, if dealing with mental development ($bh\bar{a}van\bar{a}$) is subtler [than the feeling dealing with morality]. Feeling even dealing with mental development if associated with two roots, is gross, if associated with three roots, is subtle. The feeling even associated with three roots, if with promptitude, is gross, if without promptitude, is subtle.

Then, the feeling associated with $r\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara\ cittas$, if it deals with the first *jhāna*, is gross, ... if it deals with the fifth *jhāna*, is subtle. Then, the feeling associated with $ar\bar{u}p\bar{a}vacara\ cittas$, if associated with $\bar{a}k\bar{a}s\bar{a}na\tilde{n}c\bar{a}yatana$, is gross, ... if associated with *nevasaññānāsaññā* is definitely subtle.

Then, supramundane (*lokuttara*) feeling, if associated with *sotāpatti-magga*, is gross, ... if associated with *arahatta-magga*, is definitely subtle.

The same method must be applied also to resultant (*vipāka*) feeling and functional (*kiriya*) feeling belonging to such and such plane, to the feelings said dealing with pain, etc., non-attainment, etc., the object of $\bar{a}sava$, etc.

Then, according to location the painful feeling in the hell is gross, in animal-kingdom subtle, ... in the plane of *paranimmitavasavattī*¹⁰⁷ definitely subtle. As painful feelings, so the happy feelings also must be applied to every location. So happy feeling must be applied also everywhere as possible¹⁰⁸.

¹⁰⁷ The highest of the six classes of deities $(dev\bar{a})$ in the sensuous sphere $(k\bar{a}m\bar{a}vacara \text{ or }k\bar{a}ma-loka)$.

¹⁰⁸ The application is not possible everywhere. At hell there is no pleasant feeling *(sukha)* at all.

Dealing with physical basis, any kind of feeling of which the base is inferior, is gross, of which the base is superior, is subtle. It should be noted for the division in inferior and superior, if the feeling is gross, that is inferior, and if the feeling is subtle, that is superior.

503. Then, the word **'far'** ($d\bar{u}re$) is explained in Vibhanga thus: "The feeling associated with *akusala* is far from the feeling associated with *kusala* and *abyākata*." The word **'near'** (*santike*) is explained in Vibhanga thus: "The feeling associated with *akusala* is near to the feeling associated with *akusala*, etc." Therefore, the feeling associated with *akusala* is far from the feeling associated with *kusala* and *abyākata*, because it has different nature, unconnectedness¹⁰⁹ [as a consequence] and non-resemblance. In the same way the feeling associated with *kusala* and *abyākata*. This way should be applied to all sections. Then, the feeling associated with *akusala*, because of the same nature and similarity.

These are some hints of the detailed explanation of the feelingaggregate dealing with the division of past, etc.

It should be understood in this way dealing with **perception** $(sa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a)$, etc. that associate such and such feeling.

Kamādivinicchayakathā

Talk on judgement of the order, etc.

504. Then, having understood in this way,

again for the purpose of various knowledge¹¹⁰ regarding only those aggregates, this method of judgement should be known well by a wise man: as to order, distinction, as to neither less

 $^{^{109}}$ samsattha = mixed association, asamsattha = dissociation. As a consequence they are never similar.

¹¹⁰ $n\bar{a}nabheda$ = analytical knowledge. Meaning: knowing in various ways.

nor more, as to simile likewise, as how to be seen in two ways, as to advantage for one who sees in this way.

Of them, here the order is of many kinds, namely arising-order, order of eradication, order of practice, order of plane, teaching-order. Of them, the **order of arising** is thus: "First the *kalala* comes into being, from the *kalala* the *abbuda* arises, etc.¹¹¹"

The **order of eradication** is thus: The *dhammas* that must be eradicated by *dassana* (seeing)¹¹². The *dhammas* that are eradicated by *bhāvanā* (development)¹¹³.

The **order of practice** is thus: purification of morality (*sīlavisuddhi*), purification of mind (*cittavisuddhi*).

The order of plane is thus: kāmāvacara, rūpāvacara, etc.

The **order of teaching** is thus: the four *satipatthāna* (well established mindfulness), four right efforts, etc., or talk on *dāna*, *sīla*, etc.

Among those orders, the order of arising firstly is not suitable here [in the case of the *khandhas*], because the *khandha* never arises as *kalala*, etc. by dividing as preceding and following. Nor is the order of eradication, because *kusala* and *abyākata* must not be eradicated. Nor is the order of practice, because *akusala* should not be practised. Nor is the order of plane, because the feeling-aggregate, etc. is included in the four planes¹¹⁴. Especially the teaching-order is suitable. The beings to be tamed¹¹⁵ have been fallen into the grasping

¹¹¹ kalala = cell (very first stage of a new being in mother's womb), embryo, microscopic unit of mind and matter. abbuda = second stage of the embryo. [Samyutta Nikāya, Indaka-Sutta, S 10.1.1]

¹¹² Seeing *Nibbāna* firstly, that means *sotapatti-magga* (path-consciousness of stream-entry)

¹¹³ Here: *sakadāgami-*, *anāgāmi-*, and *arahatta-magga* (path-consciousness of oncereturner, non-returner and arahat).

¹¹⁴ The four planes are *kāmāvacara-*, *rūpāvacara-*, *arūpāvacara* and *lokuttara*. *Rūpa* (matter) belongs only to the *kāmāvacara* plane.

of the view of *atta* to the five aggregates, because they are not analysed yet. The Great Teacher wishes to benefit and to free them from the grasping of *atta* by showing the dividing of the group-compactness¹¹⁶. For the purpose of easy knowing such and such being, the Great Teacher taught the matter-aggregate first, which is gross and the object even of the sensitive eye, etc.

¹¹⁵ Not all beings, only the beings which are fit for taming.

 $^{^{116}}$ ghana = compactness, solidity, seeming to be one. There are four types of compactness:

^{1.} *santati-ghana* = process is non-stop, therefore we think, it is only one

^{2.} $sam\bar{u}ha$ -ghana = compactness of things

^{3.} *ārammaņa-ghana* = compactness of the object (*citta* and all *cetasikas* work on the same object)

^{4.} *kicca-ghana* = compactness of function. For example a car: many parts of the car work together for only one function: running.